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ABSTRACT We study the major levels of Y-chromosome haplogroup variation in 15 Sudanese populations by typing major Y-haplogroups in 445 unrelated males representing the three linguistic families in Sudan. Our analysis shows Sudanese populations fall into haplogroups A, B, E, F, I, J, K, and R in frequencies of 16.9, 7.9, 34.4, 3.1, 1.3, 22.5, 0.9, and 13% respectively. Haplogroups A, B, and E occur mainly in Nilo-Saharan speaking groups including Nilotics, Fur, Borgu, and Masalit; whereas haplogroups F, I, J, K, and R are more frequent among Afro-Asiatic speaking groups including Arabs, Beja, Copts, and Hausa, and Niger-Congo speakers from the Fulani ethnic group. Mantel tests reveal a strong correlation between genetic and linguistic structures (\( r = 0.31, P = 0.007 \)), and a similar correlation between genetic and geographic distances (\( r = 0.29, P = 0.025 \)) that appears after removing nomadic pastoralists of no known geographic locality from the analysis. The bulk of genetic diversity appears to be a consequence of recent migrations and demographic events mainly from Asia and Europe, evident in a higher migration rate for speakers of Afro-Asiatic as compared with the Nilo-Saharan family of languages, and a generally higher effective population size for the former. The data provide insights not only into the history of the Nile Valley, but also in part to the history of Africa and the area of the Sahel. Am J Phys Anthropol 000:000–000, 2008. © 2008 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

The sequential accumulation of genetic diversity along lines of common descent makes Y-chromosome a much more powerful tool for phylogeographic analysis than autosomes (Su et al., 1999; Jobling and Tyler-Smith, 2000; Semino et al., 2000; Underhill et al., 2000, 2001; Capelli et al., 2001; Hammer et al., 2001; Karafet et al., 2001). Archeological and genetic evidence based on mitochondrial, Y-chromosome, and autosomal DNA markers suggests that modern humans originated in Africa around 100,000-200,000 years ago (Cann et al., 1987; Grun and Stringer, 1991; Underhill et al., 2000), and that the Nile Valley may have provided a conducive environment for the first permanent settlements in Africa about 18,000 years ago (Phillipson, 1993), followed by the first adoption of agriculture in Africa by ancient Egyptians and Nubians around 10,000 BC or possibly earlier (Shillington, 1995). Climatic fluctuations would have played an important role in establishing the substrate of human habitation in the region. Widespread aridity occurred during the Last Glacial Maximum about 18 ky BP in North Africa followed by a Holocene lacustral phase (Street and Grove, 1976). Most if not all countries in the Nile Basin, including what is known today as the Sudan, are likely scenes for such pivotal human evolutionary events.

The languages spoken today in the Sudan belong to the three major African linguistic families: Nilo-Saharan, Afro-Asiatic, and Niger-Congo (Greenberg, 1963), encompassing an excess of 100 languages in total. Significant ethnic and cultural diversity exist, rendering the study of contemporary genetic diversity of human populations an interesting and appealing endeavor.

Several questions pertaining to the pattern of succession of the different groups/cultures in early Sudan have been raised with the intention of acquiring clues into the history of the Nile Valley, state formation, and main demographic and migration events. Here, we seek insights into such history and attempt to understand Sudanese populations' structure from the male side of lineages, through the study of Y-chromosome variation of individuals representing some of the major ethnic groups in the country. These include groups known to have had an established history in what is today the Sudan, like Nuba and Nilotics, as well as groups that are known to have migrated relatively recently to Sudan (e.g., Hausa, Copts, and Meseria).

METHODS

Genotyping of Y-chromosome biallelic markers

A total of 445 unrelated male subjects belonging to 15 Sudanese populations (geographic locations are shown in Fig. 1) were analyzed. Appropriate informed consent was obtained from all participants. Sample sizes and linguistic affiliation for each population are reported in Table 1. DNA samples were obtained from blood or buccal specimen using phosphate buffer saline. DNA extraction was
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carried out according to Miller et al., (1988) with minor modifications. The biallelic variability at Y-chromosome-specific polymorphisms YAP, M2, M9, M11, M13, M23, M33, M40, M42, M51, M52, M60, M74, M78, M89, M170, M172, M173, M174, M175, M215, P25, and 12f2 (Y Chromosome Consortium [YCC], 2002) was used to generate male-specific haplotypes. To investigate the distribution of M78 binary subclades among the Sudanese population, all 114 Y-chromosomes carrying the M78 derived T allele were further genotyped for five binary markers-V12, V13, V22, V32, and V65—according to Cruciani et al. (2006, 2007).

**Phylogenetic tree and principal component analysis**

The Y-chromosome phylogenetic tree has been designed according to YCC nomenclature (YCC, 2002). Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using PAST software (available online at http://folk.uio.no/ohammer/past). Published data of some African populations and Turks (Semino et al., 2002; Sanchez et al., 2005) were used alongside population data from this study to construct phylogenetic trees and PC plots.

**Statistical analysis**

Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) was performed to verify statistical differences between linguistic and geographic groups. Haplotype frequencies and molecular differences of Y-chromosome among haplogroups were taken into account. \( F_{ST} \) values were calculated based on the number of pairwise differences between Y-chromosome haplogroups. All calculations were performed using ARLEQUIN version 3.0 (Excoffier et al., 2005).

**Mantel test**

The correlation among genetic, linguistic, and geographic distances was assessed by the Mantel test (Mantel, 1967; Smouse et al., 1986), employing ARLEQUIN 3.0. This test is a statistical procedure that involves measuring the correlation coefficient between two matrices while holding a third one constant. Geographic distances between populations were calculated and converted to matrices using approximate latitude and longitude data. Fulani and Meseria were excluded from the analysis because they are widely spread nomadic populations. Linguistic distance matrices were calculated according to Excoffier et al. (1991) and Wood et al. (2005) after excluding the Nuba sample, because it encompasses different languages belonging to the Niger-Congo and Nilo-Saharan linguistic families.

**\( F_{ST} \) and migration rate**

Migration rate has been calculated according to the island model of migration and an \( F_{ST} = 1/(1 + Nm) \) for haploid markers (Wright, 1951). Populations were categorized into four groups: Nilo-Saharan was divided into Nilotics and Non-Nilotics; Afro-Asiatic was divided into Arabs and Non-Arabs. The Niger-Congo samples were excluded from this analysis because of the small sample size.

**RESULTS**

**Y-haplogroup diversity**

Haplogroup frequencies in 15 Sudanese populations are given in Figure 2 following YCC nomenclature (2002). Haplogroups A-M13 and B-M60 are present at high frequencies in Nilo-Saharan groups except Nubians, with low frequencies in Afro-Asiatic groups although notable frequencies of B-M60 were found in Hausa (15.6%) and Copts (15.2%). Haplogroup E (four different haplotypes) accounts for the majority (34.4%) of the chromosome and is widespread in the Sudan. E-M78 represents 74.5% of haplogroup E, the highest frequencies observed in Masalit and Fur populations. E-M33 (5.2%) is largely confined to Fulani and Hausa, whereas E-M2 is restricted to Hausa. E-M215 was found to occur more in Nilo-Saharan rather than Afro-Asiatic speaking groups. In contrast, haplogroups F-M89, I-M170, J-12f2, and J-M172 were found to be more frequent in the Afro-Asiatic speaking groups. J-12f2 and J-M172 represents 94% and 6%, respectively, of haplogroup J with high frequencies among Nubians, Copts, and Arabs. Haplogroup K-M9 is restricted to Hausa and Gaalien with low frequencies and is absent in Nilo-Saharan and Niger-Congo. Haplogroup R-M173 appears to be the most frequent haplogroup in Fulani, and haplogroup R-P25 has the highest frequency in Hausa and Copts and is present at lower frequencies in north, east, and western Sudan. Haplogroups A-M51, A-M23, D-M174, H-M52, L-M11, O-M175, and P-M74 were completely absent from the populations analyzed.
TABLE 1. Sample size and linguistic affiliation for populations analyzed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnic groups</th>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Socioeconomical activities</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nilotic</td>
<td>Dinka</td>
<td>Pastoralists</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Nilo-Saharan</td>
<td>Eastern Sudanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shilluk</td>
<td>Agri-pastoralists</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Nilo-Saharan</td>
<td>Eastern Sudanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nuer</td>
<td>Pastoralists</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Nilo-Saharan</td>
<td>Eastern Sudanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nubians</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Nilo-Saharan</td>
<td>Eastern Sudanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Borgu</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Nilo-Saharan</td>
<td>Maban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Masalit</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Nilo-Saharan</td>
<td>Maban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fur</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Nilo-Saharan</td>
<td>Fur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fulani</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Niger-Congo</td>
<td>Atlantic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hausa</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Afro-Asiatic</td>
<td>Chadic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nuba</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Nilo-Saharan + Niger-Congo</td>
<td>Eastern Sudanic + Kordofanian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Copts</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Afro-Asiatic</td>
<td>Ancient Egyptian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arabs</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Afro-Asiatic</td>
<td>Semitic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gaalien</td>
<td>Agriculturists</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Afro-Asiatic</td>
<td>Semitic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meseria</td>
<td>Nomadic Pastoralists</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Afro-Asiatic</td>
<td>Semitic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arakien</td>
<td>Agriculturists</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Afro-Asiatic</td>
<td>Cushitic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>445</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* According to www.ethnolouge.com

AMOVA

AMOVA results are shown in Table 3. When populations were grouped according to linguistic affiliation, most of the genetic variance (75.3%) was found within populations; a value that is similar to that obtained (75.8%) when the populations were grouped according to the geographic distribution. Variance among populations within the linguistic groups was 14.6%, which is higher than the variance among the geographic groups (11.3%). A notable amount of genetic variance (10.1%) was found among linguistic groups, which is lower than variance among geographic groups (12.8%).

DISCUSSION

The PCA plot based on $F_{ST}$ values of Sudanese Turks and African populations defines two main genetic episodes that feature striking concordance with linguistic and geographic variations. One cluster relates to populations who speak languages of the Nilo-Saharan family, the predominant linguistic family in the Sudan across the millennia. This cluster is defined by the predominance of the ancestral haplogroups A-M13 and B-M60, as well as the common and most widely distributed haplogroup (E-M78). The second grouping encompasses populations who are essentially speakers of languages belonging to the Afro-Asiatic family, with the exception of Nubians. The placement of the Oromo, who speak a language of the Afro-Asiatic family, in the first cluster is probably because of their possession of high frequencies of A-M13. Both A-M13 and B-M60 are haplogroups that are deeply rooted within the human Y-chromosome tree, and they are known to be common among populations in eastern Africa (Underhill et al., 2000; Semino et al., 2002).

Mantel testing showed strong correlations between genetic and linguistic distances ($r = 0.31$, $P = 0.007$), and a similar correlation between genetic and geographic distances ($r = 0.29$, $P = 0.025$).

Tests of neutrality and migration rate

Table 4 shows the values of $\theta$, $\theta_s$, and Tajima’s $D$. All $D$ statistics were slightly positive in most cases, whereas they were slightly negative in the case of Arakien, Gaalien, Hausa, and Nubians. All $P$ values were not significant. Table 5 shows the $F_{ST}$ estimates and migration rate for the two linguistic groups. For $Nm$, Arabs showed the highest migration rate ($Nm = 5.0$), whereas the lowest population size apart from Fulani (excluded from analysis) was found to be in Nilotics ($Nm = 2.3$).
subclades with defined geographical clustering (Cruciani et al., 2006, 2007). Although this haplogroup is common to most Sudanese populations, it has exceptionally high frequency among populations like those of western Sudan (particularly Darfur) and the Beja in eastern Sudan. The analysis of M78 subclades among Sudanese suggests that two subclades, E-V12 and E-V22, which are very common in northern Africa (Cruciani et al., 2007), might have been brought to Sudan from North Africa after the progressive desertification of the Sahara around 6,000–8,000 years ago. Sudden climate change might have forced several Neolithic cultures/people to shift northwards to the Mediterranean and southwards to the Sahel and Nile Valley (Dutour et al., 1988; Rando et al., 1998). E-V32 is the most frequent subclade among Sudanese. The Masalit possesses by far the highest frequency of the E-M78 and of the E-V32 haplogroup, suggesting either a recent bottleneck in the population or a proximity to the origin of the haplogroup. Both E-V13, which is believed to originate in western Asia with its low frequency in North Africa, and E-V65 of North African origin (Cruciani et al., 2007), were not found among Sudanese.

Although the PC plot places the Beja and Amhara from Ethiopia in one sub-cluster based on shared frequencies of the haplogroup J1, the distribution of M78 subclades (Table 2) indicates that the Beja are perhaps related as well to the Oromo on the basis of the considerable frequencies of E-V32 among Oromo in comparison to Amhara (Cruciani et al., 2007). These findings affirm the historical contact between Ethiopia and eastern Sudan (Hassan, 1968, 1973; Passarino et al., 1998), and the fact that these populations speak languages of the Afro-Asiatic family tree reinforces the strong correlation.

Fig. 2. Phylogenetic distribution of the Y-chromosome haplotypes and their frequencies in 15 Sudanese populations in the present study, compared with the frequencies in the Turks (Sanchez et al., 2005), two Ethiopian groups (Oromo and Amhara), and Senegalese (Semino et al., 2002). Numbering of mutations and haplogroups nomenclature are according to YCC (2002): those previously reported in the literature are shown in italics. The arrow shows the root of the tree.
between linguistic and genetic diversity (Cavalli-Sforza, 1997).

The Mantel test highlights the pronounced divide and correlation across linguistic and geographic lines in our data set. When populations are grouped according to linguistic affiliation, the proportion of among-groups variance ($F_{CT} = 0.11$) is similar to that when populations are grouped according to geographic location ($F_{CT} = 0.13$), which further indicates that Y-chromosome variation is significantly partitioned among both geographic and linguistic groups. The correlation with geography became obvious when strictly nomadic pastoralists, who are widely spread in the country, were removed from the analysis. These include Meseria and Fulani, tribes that typically traversed the Sahel and who have managed to settle only recently in the Sudan.

It seems that gene flow is not only recent (Holocene onward) but also largely of focal nature. Most speakers of Nilo-Saharan languages, the major linguistic family spoken in the country, show very little evidence of gene flow and demonstrate low migration rate, with exception of the Nubians, who appear to have sustained consider-

### Table 2. Frequencies (N) of the Y-chromosome M78 subclades in 15 Sudanese populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Populations</th>
<th>M78</th>
<th>M78*</th>
<th>V12*</th>
<th>V32</th>
<th>V13</th>
<th>V22</th>
<th>V65</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Dinka</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Shilluk</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Nuer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Borgu</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Nuba</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Fur</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Nubians</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Fulani</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Hausa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Copts</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Beja</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Gaalien</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Meseria</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Arakien</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total N (%)</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>2 (1.8)</td>
<td>22 (19.3)</td>
<td>59 (51.8)</td>
<td>31 (27.2)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>No. of groups</th>
<th>Within populations Variance (%)</th>
<th>$F_{ST}$</th>
<th>Among populations within groups Variance (%)</th>
<th>$F_{SC}$</th>
<th>Among groups Variance (%)</th>
<th>$F_{CT}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linguistic groups</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographic groups</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 3.** PC plot of the Y-chromosome of Sudanese populations from the present study, compared with Turks (Sanchez et al., 2005), two Ethiopian groups (Oromo and Amhara), and Senegalese (Semino et al., 2002). Codes are as follows: **Am**, Amhara; **Ar**, Arakien; **Bj**, Beja; **Br**, Borgu; **C**, Copts; **D**, Dinka; **F**, Fulani; **Fr**, Fur; **G**, Gaalien; **H**, Hausa; **M**, Masalit; **Ms**, Meseria; **N**, Nuer; **Nb**, Nuba; **Nu**, Nubians; **Or**, Oromo; **Sh**, Shilluk; **Sn**, Senegalese; **Tr**, Turks.
Available gene flow from Asia and Europe together with the Beja. Both Beja and Nubians lie at entering ports of the Sudan; the Beja in the Red Sea area where past and recent settlements of both Turks and Arabs are evident, and Nubians occupy a strip along the Nile bordering south of Egypt, where successive waves of migration and conquest of the Sudan have passed over the millennia (MacMichael, 1967; Hassan, 1968). This is attested by the remarkable presence of the J-M172 chromosome known to be quite frequent in Turkey and the Levant, as well as other Eurasian haplogroups, including haplogroup J-12f2 (Al-Zahery, 2003; Giacomo et al., 2004) and the bondage and genetic continuum of the Nubians with their kin in southern Egypt is indicated by comparable frequencies of E-V12 the predominant M78 subclade among southern Egyptians (Cruciani et al., 2007).

The group that displayed the highest population size in fact was the Gaalien from central Sudan. This group occupies a trading crossroad that extends back to the ancient Kingdom of Meroe. The Gaalien exhibits a Y-profile that gives insight into past and recent migrations to the Sudan. Interestingly, they still maintain low frequencies of haplogroup A-M13 and E-M78, which suggests older rooting and relates them to other neighboring populations. Considerable frequencies of Eurasian haplogroups including J-12f2 are also present, consistent with a more recent Arabic oral tradition and descent.

Among other groups with a relatively large population size are the Hausa and Copts. Hausa display elevated frequencies of the haplogroup R-P25, which is considered as an evidence for back migration from Asia to Sub-Saharan Africa (Cruciani et al., 2002), although a recent study questions the reliability of this marker being used in singularity (Adams et al., 2006). Other groups with varying frequencies of this haplogroup, like the Borgu and Nubians, appear to have acquired it from Afro-Asiatic-speaking groups through gene flow. We have recently shown that this haplogroup is strongly associated with the sickle cell gene. Both markers might have co-introgressed during the past 300 years to eastern Sahel (Bereir et al., 2007).

The relatively high-effective population size of the Copts is unlikely to have been influenced by their recent history in the Sudan. The current communities are known to be largely the product of recent migrations from Egypt over the past two centuries. The Copt samples displayed a most interesting Y-profile, enough (as much as that of Gaalien in Sudan) to suggest that they actually represent a living record of the peopling of Egypt. The significant frequency of B-M60 in this group might be a relic of a history of colonization of southern Egypt probably by Nilotics in the early state formation, something that conforms both to recorded history and to Egyptian mythology.

The Fulani, who possess the lowest population size in this study, have an interesting genetic structure, effectively consisting of two haplogroups or founding lineages. One of the lineages is R-M173 (53.8%), and its sheer frequency suggests either a recent migration of this group to Africa and/or a restricted gene flow due to linguistic or cultural barriers. The high frequency of subclade E-V22, which is believed to be northeast African (Cruciani et al., 2007) and haplogroup R-M173, suggests an amalgamation of two populations/cultures that took place sometime in the past in eastern or central Africa. This is also evident from the frequency of the "T" allele of the lactase persistence gene that is uniquely present in considerable frequencies among the Fulani (Mulcare et al., 2004). Interestingly, Fulani language is classified in the Niger-Congo family of languages, which is more prevalent in West Africa and among Bantu speakers, yet their Y-chromosomes show very little evidence of West African genetic affiliation.

It seems, however, that the effective size of the pastoralists and nomadic pastoralists is generally much smaller than groups of sedentary agriculturalists life style. This is intriguing in the sense that one would expect nomadic tribes to be more able to admix, spread, and receive genes than their sedentary counterparts.
However, this data might point to the fact that population size in human history is largely affected by culture, including the formation of states, rather than the population mobility. Both Hausa and Copts descend from longstanding cultures of city states and empires that have historically expanded, drawing into their spin other groups and populations.

For all studied populations, P values of Tajima’s D (Table 4) were not significant and, therefore, the hypothesis of expansion could be rejected at the P = 0.05 level. This may be due to the recent nature of the expansion, consistent with Nm that supports a larger effective size of Afro-Asiatic males could be explained by a recent past. For example, the high effective population size in human history is largely affected by culture, some of which occurred in the recent past. For example, the high effective population size of Afro-Asiatic males could be explained by a recent higher migration rate; diversity among population mobility. Both Hausa and Copts descend from groups who are known to have migrated recently to the country. Accordingly, we suggest that regional variation in Y-chromosome sequences in Sudan is likely to have been shaped by human migrations, some of which occurred in the recent past. For example, the high effective population size of Afro-Asiatic males could be explained by a recent higher migration rate; diversity among population mobility. Both Hausa and Copts descend from groups who are known to have migrated recently to the country. Accordingly, we suggest that regional variation in Y-chromosome sequences in Sudan is likely to have been shaped by human migrations, some of which occurred in the recent past.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The strong concordances between the language and genetics (P = 0.007), and geography and genetics (P = 0.025), suggest that language, geography, and cultural traits may have played a significant role in the genetic structure of Sudanese populations, in a country with diverse linguistic and cultural traits. Although most of the Y-chromosome markers genotyped define the deep ancestry of the phylogeny, our interpretations of recent causative events are plausible given the strong linguistic correlations and the concordance with history. Such perspectives, however, should be tested by employing more recently derived markers within the major haplogroups to further explore some of the pertinent issues addressed in this manuscript.
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