

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/322329897>

Influence of Tempering with Ozonated Water on Physico-chemical Properties of Sudanese Wheat Flour

Article · January 2016

DOI: 10.5923/j.ajb.20160601.01

CITATION

1

READS

16

3 authors, including:



Hassan Ali Mudawi

University of Khartoum

36 PUBLICATIONS 10 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Abdel Moneim Elhadi Sulieman

University of Hail

185 PUBLICATIONS 279 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Nutritive Value of *Spirulina platensis* (Raw and Cooked) [View project](#)



Isolation and Identification of lactic acid bacteria and yeasts from the different stages of Hul Mur Fermentation [View project](#)

Influence of Tempering with Ozonated Water on Physico-chemical Properties of Sudanese Wheat Flour

Hassan A. Mudawi¹, Gadien K. A.², Abdel Moneim E. Sulieman^{3,*}

¹ Department of Food Science & Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Khartoum, Shambat, Sudan

² Ministry of Agriculture, State of Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan

³ Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Hail, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Abstract This Study was carried out to investigate the effect of ozonated tempering on physicochemical properties of two Sudanese wheat cultivars. Two local cultivars: Debaira and Elneelain were treated with two doses of ozone (1.0 and 5.0 ppm). Proximate composition was carried out for the two wheat cultivars (72% extraction rate). In addition, sedimentation value, falling number value and gluten quality & quantity were determined for ozonated and non-ozonated Sudanese wheat flours. Results indicated significant difference in the quality of the flours of the two varieties. The two cultivars had low falling number (584 and 364 sec). Sedimentation value (28 and 20 cm³) for Debaira and Elneelain, respectively. Treatment of ozonated tem-pering of the two wheat cultivars didn't significantly affected the quality with exception of falling number and gluten index.

Keywords Wheat, Falling number, Moisture, Protein, Ash

1. Introduction

Wheat belongs to the grass family (Gramineae) genus (Triticum). Wheat is an important human food, its production being second to maize among the cereal crops; rice ranks third. Wheat grain is a staple food used to make flour for leavened, flat and steamed breads, cookies, cakes, pasta, noodles and couscous and for fermentation to make beer, or biofuel [1].

Wheat was cultivated in the Sudan since B.C but until 1940's production was confined to the northern region (16-22°N) whose inhabitants are traditionally wheat consumers. During the Second World War and be-cause of the difficulties of importing wheat it was produced from local resources. Hence 20 000 feddans of irrigated clays of central state (Gezira scheme) were cultivated and the trial was a considerable success. The varieties grown were Giza 155 and Mexicani [2].

However, in spite of success no wheat was produced in Gezira scheme after the war was over. This was partly because it became possible to import wheat and partly because the Gezira scheme tenants who had al-ready developed a taste for sorghum found it difficult with their dura production, a commodity which they considered safeguard for their maintenance and subsistence. In addition

to the above reason, land and irrigation water formerly allocated to wheat production were needed for other crops within the rotation. After 1960's wheat growing area had been extended southward to warmer regions to include New - Halfa and White Nile (12-15° N) in addition the irrigated scheme in Gezira.

Wheat in Sudan is grown under irrigation during the dry and comparatively cool winter season which extends from November to February. With the present commercial varieties, potential yield is limited by day temperature above 35°C at any stage of crop development. The season with acceptable heat limit is short (90 – 110 days) [3].

The production of wheat is increasing progressively in developing countries that it has become one of the cheap food grains, and yet great efforts are made to raise its production level to meet the great demand for the crop. These efforts can't be completed unless some efforts are made to reduce losses that occur between harvest and consumption.

During harvesting and processing, and even during distribution, wheat products may lose some nutrients. For this reason, preservation of the nutrient value is highly desirable and the application of ozone may be a method of choice, particularly considering destruction of various nutrients by the use of heat to decontaminate or problems related to the use of chemical preservatives.

Objective of the study is to investigate the possible effect of ozonated water given to Sudanese wheat varieties (Debaira and Elneelain) during tempering on the physicochemical properties of wheat grains.

* Corresponding author:

abuelhadi@hotmail.com (Abdel Moneim E. Sulieman)

Published online at <http://journal.sapub.org/ajb>

Copyright © 2016 Scientific & Academic Publishing. All Rights Reserved

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Two local wheat cultivars, Debaira and Elneelain were obtained from Agricultural Research Corporation (ARC), Sudan (Harvest season 2007/2008). The grains were cleaned by hand, and all kernel samples were stored under ambient temperature during the study, all chemicals and reagents used were of analytical grade.

2.2. Ozonated Water

Ozonated water was obtained from an ozone generator (Promaqua, Prominen company, type OZVa, TUV) using atmospheric air. Two concentrations were used, 1 mg/liter and 5 mg/liter.

2.3. Tempering

Clean wheat samples were tempered with distilled and ozonated water, each sample was transferred to tight glass jars and left for 24 hours. The amounts of tempering water were calculated according to the initial moisture content of the wheat.

2.4. Laboratory Milling

Tempered wheat samples were milled in a Brabender Quadrumat junior mill (Brabender OHG, Germany) to white flour (72% extraction rate). All flours were mixed well and stored in tight jars.

2.5. Chemical Properties of Wheat Flour (Proximate Composition)

The moisture, crude protein, crude fat, ash, crude fiber and carbohydrate content of ozonated and non-ozonated wheat flour samples were carried out on dry weight bases as follows:

2.5.1. Moisture Content (%)

Moisture content was determined according to the method of AOAC [4]. The percentage moisture content was calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{Moisture content (\%)} = \{(W_2 - W_1) / \text{weight of samples}\} \times 100$$

Where:

W_1 = the weight of the empty crucible.

W_2 = the weight the crucible + sample after drying.

2.5.2. Protein Content (%)

The protein content of the samples was determined by the micro kjedahl technique according to the AOAC [4] method. The total nitrogen and protein were calculated using the following formula:

$$N (\%) = (\text{volume of HCL} \times N \times 14 \times 100) / (S \times 1000)$$

$$\text{Protein content} = N \times 6.25$$

Where:

N% = Crude nitrogen; N = Normality of HCL; 14 =

Equivalent weight of nitrogen; S = Weight of sample; 6.25 = Conversion factor.

2.5.3. Fat Content (%)

The fat content was determined using Soxhlet apparatus. The percentage crude fat content was calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{Crude fat content (\%)} = (W_2 - W_1) / (\text{weight of sample}) \times 100$$

Where: W_1 = the weight of empty extraction flask.

W_2 = the weight of extraction flask after the extraction process with fat.

2.5.4. Ash Content (%)

The ash content of the sample was measured according to the method of AOAC [4] method. The ash content was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Crude ash (\%)} = (W_2 - W_1) / (\text{weight of sample}) \times 100$$

Where: W_1 = weight of empty crucible; W_2 = weight of crucible with ash

2.5.5. Crude Fiber Content (%)

The crude fiber was calculated using the following equation:

Crude fiber content (%) = $(W_2 - W_1) / (\text{weight of sample}) \times 100$ where: W_1 = weight of empty crucible and sample after incineration. W_2 = weight of empty crucible and sample oven dried.

2.5.6. Carbohydrates Content (%)

The total carbohydrates were calculated by difference according to AOAC [4] method, using the following equation:

$$\text{Total CHO} = 100 - (\text{moisture\%} + \text{fat\%} + \text{protein\%} + \text{ash\%} + \text{fiber\%}).$$

2.6. Gluten Quality and Quantity

Gluten quantity and quality were carried out for ozonated and non ozonated wheat four according to the ICC [5] method by using Glutomatic instrument (type 2200).

Wet gluten content (%) = $(\text{weight of wet gluten}) / (\text{weight of sample}) \times 100$

Dry gluten content (%) = $(\text{weight of dry gluten}) / (\text{weight of sample}) \times 100$

Gluten index (%) = $(\text{total wet gluten wt} - \text{passed gluten wt}) / (\text{total wet gluten wt})$.

2.7. Falling Number (seconds)

Measurement of alpha-amylase activity was carried out on ozonated and non ozonate wheat flour according to Perten [6].

$$R.W.g = 7 \times (100 - 14) / (100 - m)$$

Where: m = Actual moisture percentage of the sample.

R.W. = Required weight of flour sample used for determination.

2.8. Sedimentation Value

Sedimentation value was carried out on ozonated and non ozonated wheat flour according to Approved Methods of American Association of Cereal Chemists (AACC) [7] method.

2.9. Statistical Analyses

Analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by the least significant difference test (LSD test), was applied to all data obtained. All analyses were performed in triplicate (n=3). The level of significance used was 95% [8].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of Ozonated Tempering on Chemical Constituents of Ozonated and Non-ozonated Sudanese Wheat Cultivars

Effect of ozonated tempering on chemical constituents of ozonated and non-ozonated Sudanese wheat cultivars of the season 2007/2008 is shown in Table (1).

As shown in Table (1) the moisture content of ozonated and non ozonated wheat flour (72% extraction rate) ranged from 12.36 to 13.70%. Analysis of variance showed non significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) among the two Sudanese cultivars in their moisture contents. The results of moisture content obtained here were in agreement with values obtained by Ibanoglu [9] who reported that the ozonated tempering has no effect on moisture content (from 1.0 to 11.5 ppm). These results are relatively similar to the values ranging from 10.40 to 12.07% reported by Bashir [10], and to the result of 12.9 to 14.27% obtained by Elfadal [11]), and 13.56 to 13.73% obtained by Hassan [12].

The protein values of ozonated and non-ozonated wheat cultivars ranged from 10.59 to 12.95%. Debaira showed the highest value with ozonated dose 1.0 ppm and the lowest value with ozonated dose 5.0 ppm. Statistical analysis of the results indicated significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) between the two cultivars in protein content. These results were in disagreement with that reported by Ibanoglu [9] who concluded that the ozonated tempering did not cause any chemical or physical changes leading to changes in protein values. This result is similar to cultivars (Debaira and Elneelain) ranged between 10.77 to 13.57% and result

obtained by Elagib [13] who found that the protein content of white flour of different Sudanese cultivars ranged between 11.79 and 13.58%. The protein content of wheat is influenced by environmental conditions, grain yield and available nitrogen as well as variety genotype as reported by George [1].

The fat content of ozonated and non-ozonated wheat cultivars (Table 1) was affected by ozonated tempering. These results ranged from 0.90 to 1.34%, the highest value were gained by Elneelain cultivar without ozonated treatment; also the lowest value gained by Elneelain with ozonated dose 1.0 ppm. Statistical analysis of the results indicated significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) among the two cultivars in their fat content (the differences were not justified). These results were comparable with those reported by Quisenberry and Retiz [14] who reported that the fat content of wheat flour (72% extraction) about 1.2% and Ahmed [15] who stated that the fat content of Sudanese wheat cultivars ranged between 0.85 and 1.73% for white flour.

According to the results in Table (1) ozonated tempering treatment of wheat flour showed non significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) in ash content for both cultivars. These results were in agreement with the previous findings reported by Ibanoglu [9] who stated that the ozonated tempering did not cause any chemical change leading to change in ash content. The results ranged from 0.29 to 0.38%, which are lower than those obtained by Hassan [12] who found that the ash content of Sudanese wheat cultivars (Debaira and Elneelain) ranged from 0.42 to 0.62%, and Elfadal [11] who reported that the ash content of Sudanese cultivars ranged from 0.46 to 0.67%.

The results crude fiber content of the ozonated and non-ozonated wheat cultivars are shown in Table (1) and indicated that no significant difference ($P \leq 0.05$) in fiber content was observed after ozonated tempering. These results were in agreement with that noticed by Ibanoglu [9] who found that the ozonated water has no significant effect on crude fiber content. The results ranged from 1.56 to 1.64% which are similar to those obtained by Elfadal [11] who reported that the fiber content of Sudanese wheat is in the range of 1.30 to 1.72%. But higher than those obtained by Bashir [10] who reported that the fiber content of Sudanese wheat cultivars (Debaira and Elneelain) is in the range of 1.06 to 1.08%.

Table 1. Chemical composition* of ozonated and non-ozonated two Sudanese wheat (flour) cultivars

Cultivar	Dose ppm	Moisture (%)	Protein (%)	Fat (%)	Ash (%)	Crude Fiber (%)	Carbo-hydrates (%)
Debaira	0.0	12.361 ^a	12.667 ^a	0.939 ^c	0.294 ^a	1.614 ^a	72.126 ^a
	1.0	13.701 ^a	12.950 ^a	1.159 ^{ab}	0.322 ^a	1.578 ^a	70.290 ^a
	5.0	13.623 ^a	10.950 ^a	1.152 ^a	0.295 ^a	1.563 ^a	72.317 ^a
Elneelain	0.0	13.650 ^a	11.590 ^{ab}	1.337 ^a	0.310 ^a	1.621 ^a	71.492 ^a
	1.0	13.557 ^a	12.217 ^{ab}	0.901 ^c	0.361 ^a	1.614 ^a	71.351 ^a
	5.0	13.048 ^a	12.783 ^{abc}	1.073 ^b	0.376 ^a	1.640 ^a	71.074 ^a

• Means not sharing a common letter in the same column are significantly different ($P \leq 0.5$) according to least significant test (LSD).

Table 2. Effect of ozonated tempering on sedimentation value, falling number value, gluten index, wet and dry gluten values of wheat flour

Cultivar	Dose ppm	Sedimentation value (cm ³)	Falling number (sec.)	Gluten index (%)	Wet gluten (%)	Dry gluten (%)
Debaira	0.0	28.00 ^a	584.00 ^a	89.00 ^a	23.30 ^a	7.90 ^a
	1.0	22.00 ^a	549.00 ^c	94.00 ^a	22.90 ^a	7.60 ^a
	5.0	20.00 ^a	556.00 ^b	82.00 ^a	24.00 ^a	7.90 ^a
Elneelain	0.0	20.00 ^a	364.00 ^f	96.00 ^a	23.40 ^a	8.20 ^a
	0.0	23.00 ^a	530.00 ^d	94.00 ^a	23.10 ^a	7.80 ^a
	1.0	21.00 ^a	497.00 ^e	74.00 ^b	22.70 ^a	7.50 ^a

Means in the same column with different letters are significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$) according to least significant test (LSD).

The carbohydrates contents of ozonated and non-ozonated wheat cultivars ranged from 70.29 to 72.13%. Statistical analysis showed no significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) among the two cultivars in their carbohydrates content. These results were in agreement with the findings obtained by Ibanoglu [9] who found that the ozonated water did not alter any chemical or physical properties. These results are similar to the values obtained by Elfadal [11] who reported that the carbohydrate content of Sudanese wheat ranged from 69.75 to 71.78% and Hassan [12] who found that the carbohydrate content of Sudanese wheat cultivars (Debaira and Elneelain) ranged from 71.70 to 74.64%.

3.2. Effect of Ozonated Tempering on Sedimentation Value (cm³)

Sedimentation values of ozonated and non-ozonated Sudanese wheat cultivars are shown in Table (2). Sedimentation values ranged from 20.00 to 28.00 cm³. The results indicated that the sedimentation values of the two cultivars were not affected by the ozone treatment. Generally, Debaira gave the highest values of sedimentation compared to Elneelain cultivar. Statistical analysis of the results showed non-significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) among the two cultivars in their sedimentation values, these results are relatively similar to those obtained by Hassan [12] who reported that the sedimentation value of wheat ranged between 3 and 70 cm³. Elfadal [11] showed that the values of different Sudanese wheat cultivars (Debaira and Sasaraib) ranged between 24.76 to 30.33 cm³ and Bashir [12] reported that the sedimentation values of Sudanese wheat cultivars (Debaira and Elneelain) ranged between 19.60 and 23.30 cm³.

3.3. Effect of Ozonated Tempering on Falling Number Values (sec)

Falling number values of ozonated and non-ozonated Sudanese wheat cultivars are shown in Table (2). The present study indicated that the falling number values ranged from 549 to 584 sec. for Debaira wheat flour and from 364 to 530 sec. for Elneelain wheat flour. Analysis of variance showed significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) among the two Sudanese cultivars in their falling numbers. The results were relatively in good agreement with data reported by Badi *et al.*, [2] who

found that the falling number values of Sudanese wheat cultivars was abnormally high, compared to European wheat cultivars.

3.4. Effect of Ozonated Tempering on Gluten Quality and Quantity

Gluten values (wet, dry and gluten index) of ozonated and non-ozonated Sudanese wheat cultivars are shown in Table (2). Wet gluten content ranged from 22.7 to 24.0%. The Debaira wheat flour with ozonated tempering dose 5 ppm gave the highest value, whereas the lowest value was observed in Elneelain wheat flour with ozonated tempering dose 5.0 ppm. Dry gluten content ranged from 7.5 to 8.2%. Elneelain wheat flour with-out ozonated treatment gave the highest value, also Elneelain with ozonated tempering dose 5.0 ppm gave the lowest value.

Gluten index values were found to be in the range of 74.0 to 96.0%, Elneelain wheat flour without ozonated treatment gained the highest value, whereas the lowest value was gained by Elneelain wheat flour with ozonated dose 5.0 ppm. These results are lower than those obtained by Bashir [12] who found that the dry, wet and gluten index content of Sudanese wheat cultivars (Debaira and Elneelain) ranged between 8.90 and 12.75%; 37.60 and 28.05%; and 64.53 to 86.71%, respectively. According to the results no significant differences exist in wet and dry gluten content and gluten index except in the case of Elneelain ozonated with 5.0 ppm.

4. Conclusions

Based on the results, the overall average dose of ozonated tempering was very effective in mold de-contamination; ozonated tempering has no direct effect on the nutritional value of wheat flour. However, after ozonated treatment, Elneelain cultivar gave the best flour quality for bread-making compared with Debaira cultivar. On the other hand, there were some parameters that did not show significant differences, such as ash, fiber, carbohydrate content, wet and dry gluten as well as sedimentation values.

Taking into account the above conclusions, it is highly recommended to use the process of ozonated tempering as disinfection process in wheat flour. Further studies are needed to investigate the effect of ozonated tempering on

vitamins and enzymes of wheat flour of local cultivars as well as on the protein fractionation of wheat flour of local cultivars.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express their sincere gratitude to the technicians of the Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Khartoum university for their assistance.

REFERENCES

- [1] George, E.I. (1973). Wheat Production and Utilization. Pp 108 – 118. The AVI Publishing Company Inc. Westport Connecticut.
- [2] Badi, S.M., Elfaki, H.A. and Perten, H. (1978). Evaluation of Sudanese wheat varieties. Sudan J. of Food Sciences and Technology, 10: 5 – 11.
- [3] Kenneth, A.G. and Leonard, D.S. (1973). In Wheat Production and Utilization. George, I. (ed.) pp 104-108. The AVI Publishing Company Inc.
- [4] A.O.A.C. (2000). Association of Official Analytical Chemists Official methods of analysis, 11th edition. Washington, D. C., 2000.
- [5] ICC (1968). International Assoc. Cereal Chem. No. 114. Vienna. Austria.
- [6] Perten, H. (1996). Manual Falling Number 1500, as a measure of Alpha-Amylase activity. (Iso-Standard No. 3093, 1974. ICC Standard No. 10711 (1995), and AACC Method 56-81B (1) Huddinge, Sweden.
- [7] A.A.C.C. (2000). Approved Methods of American Association of Cereal Chem. 10th ed., St. Paul, MN., USA.
- [8] Stern, R. D. (1986). Review of K. A. Gomez, and A. A. Gomez 'Statistical Procedures in Agricultural Research' Experimental Agriculture, 22, pp 313-313.
- [9] Ibanoglu, S. (2001). Influence of tempering with ozonated water on the selected properties of wheat flour. J. of Food Technology, 48: 345-350.
- [10] Bashir, A.B.M. (2006). Characterization and Improvement of Flour of Three Sudanese Wheat cultivars for Bread Making. M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Food Sciences and Technology, University of Khartoum.
- [11] Elfadal, E.I. (2008). Influence of gamma-irradiation on physicochemical properties of two Sudanese wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cultivars. M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Food Sciences and Technology, University of Khartoum, Sudan.
- [12] Hassan, G.H. (2005). Effect of bran particle size on wheat flour and bread quality, M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Food Sciences and Technology, University of Khartoum.
- [13] Elagib, E.A.A. (2002). Study of the protein and baking quality of three Sudanese wheat cultivars. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Food Sciences and Technology, University of Khartoum.
- [14] Quinsberry, K.S. and Reitz Z, L.R. (1967). Wheat and wheat improvement American Society of Agriculture, number 13 in the series Agronomy.
- [15] Ahmed, S.E. (1995). Proximate composition and flour quality of wheat cultivars grown in Sudan. M.Sc. Thesis. Department of Food Science and Technology, University of Khartoum.