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ABSTRACT

This study was designed to investigate the protective effect of camel urine alone and camel milk mixed with camel urine against alcohol induced liver damage in rats. The study was conducted at the Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Khartoum. It was performed on fifty Wistar Albino rats of both sexes weighing 85-105 gm, and was continued for four weeks (28 days). On day (29) the rats were slaughtered. Liver damage was induced by oral administration of 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg body weight.

The first experiment was designed to evaluate the effect of camel urine alone against ethanol induced liver damage. Twenty five rats were used, divided into 5 groups. Rats in group 1 served as control and received normal saline orally, rats in group 2 were given orally with ethanol 10%, and rats in group 3 received orally Silymarin (standard drug) (50 mg/kg body weight) and after 3 hours the same group received ethanol 10%. Group 4 rats received camel urine at 1ml/100gm body weight by an oral intubation and rats of group 5 were administered orally with camel urine at 1ml/100gm body weight and after 3 hours received ethanol 10%. The experiment continued for 28 days, and on day 29 the rats were slaughtered.

The second experiment was conducted to evaluate the effect of the mixture of camel milk and urine against ethanol induced liver damage. Twenty five rats were used and divided into 5 groups. The rats of groups 1, 2 and 3 represented control, ethanol and Silymarin respectively, by the same schedule as the first experiment. The rats in group 4 received a mixture of camel milk and urine (1:1) at the rate of 2ml/100gm body weight, and group x
5 were administered with the mixture of camel milk and urine by the same dose as in group 4 and then after 3 hours received ethanol 10% (5g/kg body weight). The experimental continued for 28 days.

Oral administration of camel urine, three hours before alcohol (10% ethanol), to the rats in the first experiment reduced levels of aspartate aminotransferases (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP), induced by alcohol intoxication, beside noticeable stability and decrease in serum metabolites (total proteins, albumin and billirubin) concentrations which increased in alcoholic intoxicated rats. These results are better than the results obtained in the reference drug (Silymarin) group. The results of the serum enzymes and metabolites were correlated with histopathological changes.

On the other hand, administration of camel milk mixed with the camel urine to rats in group 5 of the second experiment which were treated with alcohol after three hours, resulted in significant reduction of the levels of liver serum enzymes (AST, ALT, and ALP) and in stabilization and reduction of concentration of the serum metabolites (total protein, albumin and billirubin) compared with Silymarin + ethanol group, even more strong than using the camel urine alone. These results were verified by the histopathological changes.

In Conclusion, the camel urine alone can act as protective agent against liver damage, but the camel milk mixed with camel urine has high protective effects and that could be attributed to the antioxidant activity or, possibly, to the chelating effects of camel milk and urine on toxicants specially alcohol.
المستخلص

هذه الدراسة أجريت لتقصي التأثير الوقائي لبول الإبل منفردًا و بعد خلطه بلبن الإبل ضد تلف الكبد في الجرذان المسبب بواسطة الكحول. أجريت الدراسة في كلية الطب البيطري بجامعة الخرطوم على خمسين من جرذان الويستر البيضاء من كلا الجنسين بأوزان تتراوح بين 85 و 105 جم، ولمدة أربعة أسابيع (28 يومًا) وفي اليوم التاسع والعشرين ذهبت الجرذان. أحدث تلف الكبد بتجريع 10% من الإيثانول بمعدل كل كجم وزن حي.

صممت التجربة الأولى لتقسيم تأثير بول الإبل منفردًا ضد تلف الكبد في الجرذان المسبب بواسطة الكحول. استخدم 25 جرذًا، قسمت إلى 5 مجموعات. الفنار في المجموعة 1، استخدمت كشاهد وتلقت محلل ملحي طبيعي، جرذان المجموعة 2 أعطيت 10% إيثانول (كحول) عن طريق الفم والجرذان في المجموعة 3 تلقت فموياً دواء السيليمارين القياسي بمعدل 50 ملجم/كجم وزن حي وبعد 3 ساعات أعطيت المجموعة نفسها 10% إيثانول. أما المجموعة 4 فأعطيت بول الإبل بمعدل 1 مل لكل 100 جم من وزن الجسم عن طريق التجريع بالفم، والمجموعة 5 أعطيت فموياً بول الإبل بمعدل 1 مل لكل 100 جم من وزن الجسم وبعد 3 ساعات تم إعطائها 10% إيثانول. هذه التجربة استمرت لمدة 28 يومًا وفي اليوم 29 ذهبت الجرذان.

أجريت التجربة الثانية لتقييم تأثير خليط البين و بول الإبل ضد تلف الكبد في الجرذان المسبب بواسطة الكحول. استخدم 25 جرذًا، قسمت إلى 5 مجموعات. الفنار في المجموعات 1، 2، و 3 مثلت معاملات الشاهد والإيثانول والسيليمارين على التوالي، بنفس الترتيب الجدولي الموضوع في التجربة الأولى وأعطيت الفنار في المجموعة 4 خليط بين و بول الإبل بنسبة 1:1 و بمعدل 2 مل لكل 100 جم من وزن الجسم عن طريق التجريع بالفم، والمجموعة 5 أعطيت فموياً خليط بين و بول الإبل بنفس جرعة المجموعة 4 وبعد 3 ساعات تم إعطائها 10% إيثانول. استمرت التجربة كانت 28 يومًا.

إعطاء بول الإبل عن طريق الفم قبل ثلاث ساعات من إعطاء الكحول (الإيثانول 10%) للجرذان في التجربة الأولى أدى إلى تقليل مستويات إنزيمات الكبد المصلية ALP و ALT و AST.
والتي نتجت عن التسمم بالكحول، بجانب الإستقرار والانخفاض الملحوظ في تراكيز المستقبلات المصلية (البروتينات الكلي والألبومين والبيروبين) والتي ارتفعت في الفنار السمنة بواسطة الكحول. هذه النتائج كانت أفضل من النتائج المتحصلة من الدواء الممرجي (سيليمارين)، وإرتبعت نتائج الإنزيمات والمستقبلات المصلية مع التغيرات النسيجية المرضية.

من جهة أخرى، أسفر إعطاء بن الإبل مختصلاً مع بولها للفناز في المجموعة الخامسة للتجربة الثانية، والتي تم إعطاؤها الكحول بعد ثلاث ساعات، أسفر عن انخفاض معنوي في مستويات إنزيمات الكبد المصلية (ALP) و (ALT)، وعن إستقرار وتدني في تراكيز مستويات المستقبلات المصلية (البروتينات الكلي والألبومين والبيروبين) مقارنة مع مجموعة السيليمارين + الإيثانول، حتى أنها كانت أكثر فاعلية من استخدام بول الإبل منفرداً. هذه النتائج كانت أيضًا مرتبطة بالتغيرات النسيجية المرضية.

تشير نتائج الدراسة إلى أن بول الإبل يمكن أن يمثل عاملًا وقائيًا ضد تلف الكبد، كما أنها تثبت أن بن الإبل وبولها مختصلاً معاً لهما تأثيرات وقائية أقوى ضد تلف الكبد الذي يسببه الكحول، ويمكن أن يعزى ذلك إلى النشاطات المضادة للأكسدة أو ربما يكون ناتجًا عن الآثار المخليبة لين الإبل وبولها على المواد السامة خوصًا الكحول.
INTRODUCTION

Liver is the first organ to metabolize all foreign compounds and hence it's susceptible to many different diseases (Sakar et al., 2005). Alcohol administration is one of the most common causes of chronic liver diseases in the world and it was found that, alcohol affects the liver not only through nutritional disturbances, but also through direct toxicity, because it is predominant metabolism in the liver is associated with oxidation, reduction changes and oxidative stress (Lieber, 2004). The body's natural defense against free radicals such as antioxidants are inhibited by alcohol consumption resulting in the liver damage (Augustyniak et al., 2005).

Liver diseases are a major worldwide health problem, with high endemicity in developing countries. They are mainly caused by chemicals and some drugs when taken in very high doses. Despite advances in modern medicine, there is no effective drug available that stimulates liver function, offer protection to the liver from damage or helps to regenerate hepatic cells. There is urgent need, therefore, for effective drugs to replace and supplement those in current use (Adewusi and Afolayan, 2010).

Camel milk and urine has been claimed to cure or benefit patients with diabetes, tuberculosis, stomach ulcers, gastroenteritis, cancer, allergies, infections, parasites, autism and even AIDS. Camel milk has been widely used in a number of countries as food additives and for curing some commonly occurring diseases, among other properties, camel’s milk is high in vitamin C, low in vitamin A, and low in fat compared to cow’s milk, and it is tolerated by those who are lactose intolerant (Kamal et al., 2007; Al-Hashem, 2009). It is different from
cow’s milk in many other ways, but the clinical significance of those differences is not clear; recently camel milk and urine has been deeply studied for special properties.

In India camel milk is used therapeutically to treat dropsy, jaundice, problems of spleen, tuberculosis, asthma, anaemia, piles and diabetes (Rao, et al 1970). Camel milk is used in cases of haemorrhoids (piles), and also used for improvement of bone formation (Yagil, 1982), meanwhile, Haddad (2006), reported that, the camel’s milk has been used to cure diseases caused by chronic imbalance of the liver, such as jaundice, oedema, and swelling of the belly, and it has been shown throughout the history of medical science till today that urine has a profound medical use such as effectiveness against allergies, skin conditions, fever, burns, tuberculosis and fertility (Natalie, 2002). It is also reported by Ohaj, 1998 that, camel urine is useful in treatment of ascites.

There are also a few studies suggesting a possible benefit in allergies (Al-Haj and Al-Kanhal, 2010), in peptic ulcers (Sharmanov et al., 1981), in infections such as hepatitis (Sharmanov et al., 1982), and in Schistosomiasis (Maghraby et al., 2005).

* The objectives of this study are to:

1- Evaluate the protective effect of camel milk and urine on alcohol induced hepatotoxicity.

2- Compare the protective effect of camel milk and urine together with camel urine alone against alcohol induced hepatotoxicity.
CHAPTER ONE
LITERATURE REVIEW

1.1 Alcohol

Alcohol (ethanol) is number one favorite mood altering drug in the United States (Lieber, 1991), it is not only a psychoactive drug but is also considered as part of the basic food supply in many societies, after ingestion most of alcohol is metabolized in the liver by hepatic alcohol dehydrogenase enzyme. A large intake of alcohol has enormous effects on nutritional status thereafter absorption, destruction and elimination begin, the kidneys and lungs excrete about one-tenth of the total alcohol ingested unchanged, the remaining alcohol undergoes oxidation. The oxidation of alcohol produces energy that results in temporary reduction of fear and conflict thereby strengthening drinking desire (Charness et al., 1989).

Alcohol has been a constant presence in african social life for centuries as it has been in most parts of the world (Obot, 2006), alcohol social consequences affect individuals other than the drinkers, such as traffic casualties, or incidence of violence in the family (WHO, 2004). Aragi is the native alcoholic drink commonly used in the Sudan; it has been the drink of choice to most people who take alcohol, due to its affordable price and availability. Both the amount of the drink consumed and the number of people taking it are assumed to have risen. This implies an increase in the risk of the emergence of toxicity due to Aragi intake (ElGamal et al., 2003), although Aragi consumption is widely spreading in Sudan, research in the adverse effects of Aragi is scarce, sparse and scanty.
1.1.1 Acute Alcoholism

Alcoholism is the most widely used term to describe patients with alcohol problems (Goldman and Ausiello, 2004). The major effect of acute alcoholism is associated with the central nervous system (Kathryn et al., 1993). In general ethanol toxicity, with its many complications at a time, affects different organs causing several disorders. The multiple pathogenicity of alcoholism demands multiple modes of therapeutic approach to fight against such problems by modulating enzyme activities, metabolism, receptor functioning, signal transduction machinery and scavenging free radicals at various levels (Xu et al., 2005).

1.1.2 Chronic Alcoholism

Chronic alcohol administration results in increased levels of endotoxin in the portal circulation, thereby activating Kupffer cells to produce toxic mediators that cause liver injury (Nanji et al., 1993; Thurman, 1998). Chronic alcohol consumption increases Nitric Oxide level and may lead to toxicity by peroxynitrite, a potent oxidant (Venkatraman et al., 2004). Overproduction of reactive nitrogen species and reactive oxygen species (RNS/ROS) may occur when its generation in a system exceeds the system's ability to neutralize and eliminate them.

In addition, both acute and chronic ethanol administration leads to formation of cytokines, especially TNF-alpha by hepatic Kupffer cells, which have a significant role in liver injury (Zakhari and Li, 2007).

1.2 The Liver

The liver is the largest gland and the most important organ in the body that performs several vital functions that essential for the life and it has enormous functional reserve and many regulatory and storage
functions, also plays a vital role in regulating various physiological processes, such as metabolism, secretion and storage. It has great capacity to detoxicate toxic substances and synthesize useful principles. The liver removes excess amino acids from the blood, converting them to urea, which is excreted by the kidneys (Shanani, 1999; Subramoniam and Pushpangadan, 1999). It helps in the maintenance, performance and regulating homeostasis of the body. It is involved with almost all the biochemical pathways to growth, fight against disease, nutrient supply, energy provision and reproduction. The liver receives the products of digestion, converts glucose to glycogen (a long chain carbohydrate used for storage and breakdown of fats). In addition, it aids metabolism of carbohydrate, protein and fat, detoxification, secretion of bile and storage of vitamins (Ahsan et al., 2009). The liver also produces bile and blood-clotting factors, and eliminates damaged red cells and toxins such as Alcohol from the blood. The role played by this organ in the removal of substances from the portal circulation makes it susceptible to first and persistent attack by offending foreign compounds, culminating in liver dysfunction (Bodakhe and Ram, 2007).

The liver is a vital organ of paramount importance involved in the maintenance of metabolic functions and detoxification from the exogenous and endogenous challenges like xenobiotics, drugs, viral infections and chronic alcoholism (Ramachandra et al., 2007). An exposure to the above mentioned challenges shows that the natural protective mechanism of the liver are over powered and leads to hepatic injury (Wolf, 1999).

For the importance of the liver and its disease susceptibility there are about (600) commercial therapeutic preparations against hepatitis alone (Ortomans, 1979).
1.2.1 Liver Diseases

Liver diseases are one of the common diseases around the world. It has many types and caused by different reasons, and is characterized by specific symptoms. Liver diseases remain one of the major threats to public health and are a worldwide problem (Asha and Pushpangadan, 1998). The causes of liver diseases or damage may be:

Toxical, Viral, Bacterial, Protozoal, Fungal, Parasitic, Nutritional, Chemical, other infections and autoimmune disorders.

Chemicals causes is like acetaminophen (in large doses), excess consumption of alcohol. Most of the hepatotoxic chemicals damage liver cells mainly by inducing lipid peroxidation and other oxidative damages (Dianzani et al., 1991). While alcohol is one of the main causes of end-stage liver disease worldwide, alcoholic liver disease is the second most common reason for liver transplantation in the United States (Mandayam et al., 2004). Due to increased frequency of drinking and change of diet construction, such as the increase of fat content, the incidence of liver diseases has increased in China, becoming another important risk factor for morbidity and mortality in addition to viral hepatitis (Zhuang and Zhang, 2003). The spectrum of alcoholic liver disease ranges from fatty liver to alcoholic hepatitis and ultimately fibrosis and cirrhosis (Tuma and Sorrell, 2004).

Liver disorders still remain common and unconquered. Modern medicines have little to offer for alleviation of hepatic diseases and only limited numbers of drugs are available for the treatment of liver disorders.
1.2.2 Liver damage

Liver is an important organ for detoxification and metabolism, and it has a good repairing capability. Common damage caused by non-persistent or mild toxicity can be repaired through certain mechanisms.

Hepatic damage is always associated with the cellular necrosis, the increase in tissue lipid peroxidation and the depletion in the tissue glutathione (GSH) levels (Sandy and Ben, 1998). Moreover, serum levels of many biochemical markers like aspartate transaminase (AST), alanine transaminase (ALT), serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP), triglycerides, cholesterol and bilirubin are also elevated. However, liver damage induced by persistent alcohol overdose or virus attack may cause chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis, and even hepatoma lead to death. In recent years, the death rate of male hepatoma is the highest among the ten major causes of death by cancer in Taiwan (Department of Health, Taiwan, 1993). Reports have described that liver damage caused by alcohol increased with the dosage, and the severity of damage is different among gender, species, and genes. For example, about 50% of Orientals lack the important enzyme for alcohol metabolism, aldehyde dehydrogenase. Therefore, their tolerance of alcohol is poor and liver damage occurs easily (Lieber, 1997; 1998), (Brody, 1999).

Enhanced oxidative stress, decreased antioxidant status and nitrosative stress appear to be chiefly responsible for alcoholic liver damage (Lu and Cederbaum, 2008). The close relation between ethanol and liver damage is mainly due to the fact that 80% of ingested alcohol is metabolized in the liver. During ethanol metabolism many reactive oxygen species are generated via cytochrome P450 2E1 (Tuma and Casey, 2003). Nitric oxide (NO) is an important mediator of many
physiological functions, and its role in the pathogenesis of many diseases is gaining recognition (Pacher et al., 2007).

The main sign of liver damage is jaundice, which is a clinical sign and often arises in diseases of liver and biliary system, but also in diseases in which there are no lesion of these organs. It is result of accumulation of billirubin. The staining is more pronounced with direct billirubin than indirect billirubin.

1.2.3 Liver cirrhosis

Liver cirrhosis is a morphologic alteration of the liver that has received a great amount of alteration. Histologically cirrhosis is characterized by presence of separate of collagen distributed throughout the liver cells (Gabriel, 1986). Cirrhosis is associate with alcohol abuse, (Cotran, et al 1989; Hunt and Mccosker 1992). Dietary derangement can induce fatty change in the liver. Billiary cirrhosis following chronic obstruction of bile flow (Cholestasis). A greater exposure to hepatotoxic drugs and chemicals cause diffuse liver toxicity leads to cirrhosis (Wayne, 1996). In the later stage of cirrhosis many complications may develop, such as ascitis, gastrointestinal bleeding, and mental deterioration encephalopathy (Sax, et al., 1980; Fracer and Ariell, 1985). Hepatocellular carcinoma develops in as many as 10% of human with long standing cirrhosis.

1.2.4 Epidemiology of liver cirrhosis.

Cirrhosis is a leading cause of death in the United States (Anon, 1983). Worldwide the annual death rate from cirrhosis of all causes is as high as 15 to 40 persons per 100,000 populations, (WHO, 1985). In the third world countries, children are frequently affected following maternally acquired hepatitis (Cotran, et al., 1989; Anon, 1983). In 1155
patients with cirrhosis from a variety of causes, the overall 5 year survival was about 40% (D’Amico et al., 1986) the cause of death was liver failure in 49%, hepatocellular carcinoma in 22%, bleeding in 14%, hepatic renal syndrome in 8%, and other causes in the remainder. Cirrhosis has become one of the 5 most frequent causes of death in persons over the age of 40 years (Anon, 1983).

1.2.5 Clinical findings and diagnosis of liver cirrhosis

Cirrhosis is insidious in its development and often produces no clinical manifestations. Up to 50% of all cases discovered, only at the time of post mortem examination. Many patients seek medical help complaining of vague, non-specific symptoms such as weight loss, loss of appetite, nausea, vomiting, and ill-defined digestive disturbances. Others were acutely ill with the full syndrome of acute alcoholic hepatitis (Precursor to cirrhosis), they have jaundice, mildly elevated serum aminotransferases (ALT and AST), and alkaline phosphates levels, a low serum albumin level, evidence of impaired coagulation (Prolonged prothrombin time) and might be equadual pain. Despite extensive investigation of liver function and pathologies, there is no effective therapy for many liver diseases. At base only symptomatic management (rather support or promote the process of healing or regeneration of the liver). Jaundice and ascetic are signs of advance liver damage and are late signs of cirrhosis (Chrestopher, 1995).

1.2.6 Treatment of liver cirrhosis

The drugs available in the modern system of medicine are the corticosteroids and/or immunosuppressive agents, which bring about only symptomatic relief (Handa, et al. 1986). Sudanese traditional camel owners used camel urine with or without milk for the treatment of
jaundice, hepatomeagerly, spleenomeagerly, ascites, and many internal disorders. Management of cirrhosis is largely symptomatic.

Some of the interesting drugs that used for liver cirrhosis are Thiamine, Vitamin K, Spironolactone, Sodium tetradecyl sulfate or ethanolamine oleate, Vasopressin, Dopamine.

1.3 Natural treatment of liver diseases

The health is equilibrium between the mind, the body, and the external world (environment), where disease is a disruption of this harmony. Prevention and treatment involve creating the condition in which the body could maintain and cure itself through its internal healing mechanism. When disease did manifest itself-specific intervention would be applied, but natural cures such as dietary changes were preferred over drugs so people generally treated their illness with prayer and common sense approaches as good food, rest and whatever substances they found in nature that were traditionally known to have medical qualities such as simple herbs, plants, minerals, urine etc. (Zaki et al., 1984).

Acute and chronic liver diseases constitute a global concern and medical treatments for these diseases are often difficult to handle and have limited efficiency (Lee et al., 2007). Therefore, there has been a great deal of interest in the role of complementary and alternative medicines for the treatment of various liver diseases. In spite of the tremendous advances in modern medicine, there is no effective drug available that stimulates liver function, offer protection to the liver from damage or help to regenerate hepatic cells (Chattopadhyay, 2003). Developing therapeutically effective agents from natural products may reduce the risk of toxicity when the drug is used clinically. It is therefore
necessary to search for alternative drugs for the treatment of liver diseases to replace currently used drugs of doubtful efficacy and safety.

A number of drugs derived from plants have been reported to have hepatoprotective activity and are used in treating many liver disorders such as different extracts of *Apium graveolens* and *Croton oblongifolius* which were tested for their hepatoprotective activity against CCL4 induced hepato toxicity in albino rats. The methanolic extracts showed the most significant hepatoprotective activity compared with the standard drug Silymarin (Ahmed *et al.*, 2002). Other extracts of petroleum ether and acetone also exhibited a potent activity.

Upadhyay *et al.*, (2000) found that the ethanolic extracts of *Cassia tora* and its butanol fraction and hepatoprotective activities in rats. Whereas the benzene fraction was found to be inactive.

In Turkish ethanolic extract of seven plants were used as hepatoprotective, these plants are; *Carduns acanthoides, C. nutans, Cichorium intybus, Fumaria aseplae, F. vailantii, Gentiana olivieri* and *Platago lanceolatl* (Aktay *et al.*, 2000).

In Jordanian folk medicine hepatoprotective effect of boiled and non-boiled aqueous extracts of *Pistacia lentiscus, Phyllyrea latifolia* and *Nioctiana glauca* showed their effectiveness in the treatment of jaundice which evaluated in vivo using CCL4-intoxicated rats as an experimental model-plants extracts administered orally at dose of 4 ml/kg body weight. The effect of boiled extract was more pronounced than that of the non-boiled extract (Janakat and Al-Merie, 2002). Also significant hepatoprotective effect was obtained against CCL4 exhibited potent activity.
1.4 Camels

1.4.1 Distribution of Camels

Camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) are important as dairy animals in certain regions of the world. The total population of camels in the world is about 18 million (Al-Hadrami, 2003). The camels were domesticated particularly in arid and semiarid zones of North and East Africa, the Indian subcontinent, and Saudi Arabia. Dromedaries are mainly used for milk production, whereas the bactrians, are more prevalent in desert and semi desert areas of northwestern Asia.(Mehaia *et al.*, 1995).

The camel (*Camelus dromedarius*) is of significant socio-economic importance in many arid and semi-arid parts of the world and its milk considered as important component of human diets in these regions. It is widely admitted that dromedary camels produce more milk of high nutritional quality and can stay for long period out of refrigerator in environment that may be rightly termed as hostile in terms of extreme temperature, drought and lack of pasture (Bakht and Arshad, 2001).

1.4.2 Camel Milk

Milk is the main source of nutrition for the neonates, and provides all the essential nutrients for growth and development, it contains proteins, minerals, carbohydrates, fatty acids, growth factors and immune modulators, (Halima *et al.*, 2006).

Camel's milk is different from other ruminant milk; having low cholesterol, low sugar, high minerals (sodium, potassium, iron, copper, zinc and magnesium), high vitamin C, B2, A and E, low level of protein, also contains many of nutrients like fat, protein, lactose, vitamins, minerals, and Immunoglobulin (Knoess, 1979). It has no allergic properties and it can be consumed by lactase deficient persons and those
with weak immune systems, most of the camel’s milk is used fresh or when it has just turned sour (Farah and Bachman, 1989). Yagil et al., (1994), reported that, the milk production reach amounts up to 20 liters a day or more. Camel’s milk is richer in immunoglobulins than human milk. However, its contents of lactoferrin and lysozyme were very low, camel’s milk and colostrums were shown to be rich in protective proteins, especially IgG2 and IgG3, which revealed to be a potential source of inhibitory antibodies (Halima et al., 2006).

The milk of camel can be mixed with camel urine and used by ladies for treatment of hairs, stomach pain, gum and teeth pain, eyes affections, skin injuries and infections, snake bite, camel mange, liver tumors, prevention of thirst and hunger and to wake up drunk man (Baesmel, 2004).

1.4.3 Camel urine

The camel excretes about 2-4 liters of urine per day and these decreases with dehydration to about 0.5 litre of highly concentrated urine, especially with sodium and potassium salts, (Schmidt-Nelsen, 1964). Urinary Na drops significantly after three days of food deprivation (Dahloborn et al., 1992). The values of Cl in hydrated camel in literature vary greatly, 492-902 Mequ/L (Wilson 1984); 332-429 Mequ/L (Manifield and Tinson, 1996).

Chemical constituents of camel urine were investigated to understand how withstanding prolonged dehydration periods. The excretion of highly concentrated urine with recycling of up to 97% of urea without affecting the nitrogen balance (Mousa et al., 1983) and low uric acid levels (Mura et al., 1986) were among the major features of camel urine in this respect. Recently increasing interest in biological activity studies of camel urine, particularly in the Middle East revealed
that it contains, high potassium, urea, and creatinine and low sodium and uric acid levels (O'haj et al., 2000). In contrast, however, low levels of urea and creatinine and considerably high sulphates and uric acid were reported for Saudi camels (Amer and Alhendi, 1996). Vitamin C was found to be higher in female Sudanese camel breeds than the males (Mohamed and Beynen, 2002).

1.4.4 Camel milk and urine as medicine in Sunna

In Sunni Islam, the Sahih Bukhari, which forms one of the six major Hadith collections quotes the Prophet Muhammad advocating drinking camel's urine as a medicine in several verses.

Sahih Bukhari volume 7, book 71, number 590:

Narrated Anas: The climate of Medina did not suit some people, so the Prophet ordered them to follow his shepherd, i.e. his camels, and drink their milk and urine (as a medicine).

Sahih Bukhari volume 8, book 82, number 794:

Narrated Anas: Some people from the tribe of 'Ukl came to the Prophet and embraced Islam. The climate of Medina did not suit them, so the Prophet ordered them to go to the (herd of milch) camels of charity and to drink, their milk and urine (as a medicine). They did so, and after they had recovered from their ailment (became healthy) they turned renegades (reverted from Islam) and killed the shepherd of the camels and took the camels away. The Prophet sent (some people) in their pursuit and so they were (caught and) brought, and the Prophets ordered that their hands and legs should be cut off and that their eyes should be branded with heated pieces of iron, and that their cut hands and legs should not be cauterized, till they die. Al-Bukhari (2009).

Although it is recorded in the Bukhari that Prophet Muhammad
advocated drinking camel urine as a medicine to his followers, and did not describe it as dirty or demeaning, later commentators find urine to be something that is "filth in an extreme degree" without denouncing its alleged medicinal properties. Abu Hanifa said that it's disliked, but not forbidden, to drink the urine from camels. Abu Yusuf said that urine from camels can be consumed for medicinal purposes.

1.4.5 Traditional uses of Camel’s milk and urine

Beside milk, meat and wool, camel urine has attracted special significance in terms of folk medicine for people in the deserts (Al-Awadi et al., 2004). The folklore of many camel rearing societies is rich of stories and practices describing the medicinal properties of camel’s milk and its urine (Yagil 1982). Historically the use of camel milk and urine goes back to the era before Islam, strengthened by the Prophetic prescription to sick Bedouins and widely practiced by medieval Arab physicians (O'haj et al., 2000) and documented in Chinese medical encyclopedia since the sixteenth century. A wide range of diseases is believed by Bedouins to be treated by consumption of raw or fermented camel milk. These include: infectious diseases like tuberculosis (Faye, 2005), chronic digestive ailments like: peptic ulcer (Sharmanov et al., 1981), diabetes mellitus (Agrawal et al., 2007) and hepatitis (Sharmanov et al., 1982). Fermented camel milk products were reported to possess antihypertensive action in addition to Samna, a Sudanese and Egyptian traditionally concentrated milk fat product similar to ghee (Abou-Donia et al., 2003), which is used as a cosmetic and wounds healing.

The traditional use of human and animals urine goes back to the early Jewish periods in the 1st to the 5th centuries (CE) (Lev 2006). Ample information is available on the traditional uses and studies of human urine. Camel urine was used for centuries in Arab countries both
by ingestion and topical applications. Historically, it is known to be used by Arab before Islam (Ali, 1993).

1.4.6 Therapeutic uses of Camel’s milk and urine

Literature overview shows that most of the studies on camel milk and urine show that it is a high quality drink and since ancient times people have this product for curing a number of diseases (Shabo et al., 2005; Redwan and Tabll, 2007).

Nowadays the therapeutic uses of camel’s milk are considered as a new revolution in most countries. The Camel’s milk contains many useful components the relatively high concentrations of vitamin C in Camel’s milk, aids in the improved liver function (Yagil et al., 1994). Shamsia (2009), reported that, certain concentrations of antimicrobial factors in Camel and human milks. A series of metabolic and autoimmune diseases are successfully being treated with camel's milk, such as beneficial role of raw camel's milk in chronic pulmonary tuberculosis which has been observed (Mal et al., 2001). In repeated trials, it was observed that there was 30-35% reduction in daily doses of insulin in patients of type 1 diabetes receiving raw camel's milk (Agrawal et al., 2002).

The medicinal properties of the Arabian camel were known to Arab physicians. In his 'Magisterial Canon'. Ibn Sina (Avicenna) has stated that, chronic liver ailment produces jaundice, dropsy and swelling at the belly and that the healthy status of the liver can be restored through a temporary diet of camel milk and male Arabian (Najib) camel urine, (Al-Nusaymi, 1988). Thus, Arabian camel urine was a standard prescription in Arabic medicine and remains to this day for chronic medical problems either by ingestion or topical application, it is useful in correcting digestive disorders in general, helping detoxify the liver in

In-vivo designed series of experiments in which mixture of camel urine and milk was given to Albino mice showed no effects on the normal liver (Khalifa 1999), kidney (El-Elyani 1999), and stomach (El-Elyani and Khalifa 2006) which indicated the biological effect of the mixture as safe product.
CHAPTER TWO
MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Materials and experimental designs

Two experiments on rats were performed at Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Khartoum, in August 2011. The first experiment was run to determine the hepatoprotective effect of camel urine and the second experiment was done to study the hepatoprotective effect of the mixture of camel milk and urine.

2.1.1 Hepatoprotective effect of one humped camel urine (*Camelus dromedaries*) in rats:

2.1.1.1 Animals, housing and management

Twenty five Wister albino rats of both sexes weighting (85-105) gm were obtained from the Animal House, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Khartoum. The rats were kept within the premises of the Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Khartoum. The rats were allowed one week adaptation period. They were housed in cages and maintained in a room under normal environmental conditions and controlled temperature (28 ± 2°C), relative humidity (60%) and light with free access to water and food (2.5 MCal and 20% crude protein rat formula feed). Rats were apparently healthy and they were identified by color tail marks.
2.1.1.2 Collection and preparation of camel urine

Fresh urine from young female camel (Bekra) (6 month up to 2 years old) was collected at early morning daily from Camel Research Centre Farm, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Khartoum.

The camel urine samples were collected during normal urination or by Tashweel technique which was done by touching the abdominal side of the female camel near the hide of the back leg (O'haj, 1998); by this technique urine samples could be available at any time.

2.1.1.3 Administration of camel urine to rats

At the end of the adaptation period, rats were divided randomly into 5 groups of 5 rats each. Group 1, the control, received only normal saline for 28 days (the experimental period). Group 2, (Ethanol control) received 10% Ethanol orally at 5g/kg body weight as single dose/day for 28 days. Group 3, received standard drug Silymarin, orally at 50 mg/kg body weight for the same period and after 3 hours 10% Ethanol was administered orally at 5g/kg. Group 4, received camel urine orally by dose of (1ml/100gm rats' body weight) for all period of the experiment (28 days). Group 5 received camel urine orally by dose of (1ml/100gm rats' body weight) and after 3 hours 10% Ethanol was administered orally at 5g/kg.

2.1.1.4 Blood samples and parameters

Blood samples were obtained from the orbital plexus of rats on day zero, day 15 and on day 29 of the experiment, for serum analysis and haematological examinations. The blood samples were collected successively into dry clean bottles and sera were harvested after centrifugation of blood for analyzing activities of the alkaline
phosphatase (ALP), alanine amino transferase (ALT), aspartate amino transferase (AST), total protein, albumin and billirubin concentrations.

Blood parameters include, haemoglobin (Hb) concentration, packed cell volume (PCV), red blood cells (RBCs) counts, beside red blood cell indices which include, mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular haemoglobin (MCH) and mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (MCHC).

Clinical signs were recorded; the specimens of 25 livers were collected immediately after slaughter of animals and were fixed in 10% buffered formal saline for histopathology examinations.

2.1.1.5 Hepatoprotective effect of one humped camel milk and urine (Camelus dromedaries) in rats:

2.1.1.5.1 Animals, housing and management

Twenty five Wister albino rats of either sex weighting (85-105) gm were obtained from the Animal House of the Veterinary Research Institute, Animal Resources Research Corporation, Soba, Khartoum. The rats were kept within the premises of the Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Khartoum. The rats were allowed one week adaptation period. They were housed in cages and maintained in a room under standard environmental conditions and controlled temperature (28 ± 2°C), relative humidity (60%) and light with free access to water and food (2.5 MCal and 20% crude protein rat formula feed). Rats were apparently healthy and they were identified by color tail marks.
2.1.1.5.2 Collection and preparation of camel milk and urine

Early morning fresh milk from different females was brought from Camel Research Centre Farm, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Khartoum, and mixed with camel urine which collected as mentioned in the previous experiment.

2.1.1.5.3 Administration of camel milk and urine in rats

At the end of the adaptation period, rats were divided randomly into 5 groups of 5 rats each. Group 1, the control, received only normal saline for 28 days (the experimental period). Group 2, (Ethanol control) received 10% Ethanol orally at 5g/kg body weight as single dose/day for 28 days. Group 3, received standard drug Silymarin, orally at 50 mg/kg body weight for the same period and after 3 hours 10% Ethanol was administered orally at 5g/kg. Group 4, received camel milk mixed with camel urine (50:50) orally by dose of (2ml/100gm rats' body weight) for all period of the experiment (28 days). Group 5, received the same mixture of camel milk and urine orally by dose of (2ml/100gm rats' body weight) and after 3 hours 10% Ethanol was administered orally at 5g/kg.

2.1.1.5.4 Blood samples and parameters

Blood samples were obtained from the orbital plexus of rats on day zero, day 15 and on day 29 of the experiment, serum analysis and haematological examinations. The blood samples were collected successively into dry clean bottles and sera were harvested after centrifugation of blood for analyzing activities of the alkaline phosphatase (ALP), alanine amino transferase (ALT), aspartate amino transferase (AST), total protein, albumin and bilirubin concentrations.

Blood parameters include, haemoglobin (Hb) concentration, packed cell volume (PCV), red blood cells (RBCs) counts, beside red
blood cell indices which include, mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular haemoglobin (MCH) and mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (MCHC).

Clinical signs were recorded; the specimens of 25 livers were collected immediately after slaughter of animals and were fixed in 10% buffered formal saline for histopathology examinations.

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Chemical methods used for determination of serum constituents

The sera were separated by centrifugation at 3000 r.p.m. for 5 minutes in a Hettich EBA 35 centrifuge and stored at -20°C Until analyzed. Spectrophotometer (Merck Mega, Version 0.6 1995 E Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was used to record serum activities of enzymes AST, ALT, ALP and serum metabolites total protein, albumin, and bilirubin.

2.2.1.1 Aspartate amino transferase (AST)

Serum AST activity was measured by commercial kit (Randox Laboratories Ltd, U.K). It is an enzymatic method that measures serum glutamyl oxaloacetic transaminase (SGOT) in serum according to (Retiman and Frankel, 1957).

Principle

Aspartate aminotransferase catalyses the reversible transfer of an amino group aspartate to a-ketoglutrate and oxalacetate.

Reaction

\[ \text{L-aspartate} + \text{a-oxoglutarate} \xrightarrow{\text{SGOT}} \text{L-glutamate} + \text{oxalacetate} \]

The oxalacetate produced is reduced to malate by maltate dehydrogenase (MDH) and NFDH.
Oxalacetate + NADH + H+ $\xrightarrow{\text{MDH}}$ maltate + NAD

The rate of decrease in concentration of NADH is proportional to the catalytic concentration of AST present in the serum sample.

**Protocol**

None–haemolysed serum was added to buffered substrate mixture of L-aspartate and a-oxoglutarate. The absorbance at a wave length of 365 nm was read at one minute intervals after mixing the serum with the buffered substrate solution. The AST was measured in IU/L. The mean absorbance change per minute (A365/minute) was used for calculation of enzyme activity as follows: IU=A365 nm/minute × 2059.

**2.2.1.2 Alanine amino transferase (ALT)**

It is an enzymatic method which measures serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (SGPT) in serum according to (Retiman and Frankel, 1957).

**Principle**

Alanine amino transferase is measured by monitoring the concentration of pyruvic hydrazone formed with 2-4 dinitrophenylhydrazine.

**Reaction**

Oxoglutarate + L-alanine $\xrightarrow{\text{SGPT}}$ L-glutarate + pyruvate

**Protocol**

None–haemolysed serum was added to buffered substrate mixture of L-aspartate and a-oxoglutarate. The absorbance of samples was read against the reagent blank after 5 minutes at wave length of 630 nm UV/VIS Spectrophotometer. The ALT was measured in IU/L, as follows: IU=A630nm/minute ×2059.
2.2.1.3 Alkaline phosphatase (ALP)

It is an optimized method according to the recommendation of Chemie (1972).

**Principle**

In alkaline medium serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP), splits p-nitro-phenyl phosphatase in the presence of Mg+2 ions, into p-nitro-phenyl and phosphate. At the pH of the reaction, p-nitro-phenyl was coloured yellow; the optical density measured in a Spectrophotometer (Jenway 6305 UV/VIS) at the wave length 405 nm.

**Reaction**

\[
P\text{-nitrophenylphosphate} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{\text{ALT}} \text{inorganic phosphate} + \text{p-nitro phenol}
\]

**Calculation**

ALP is calculated as follows: \( U/I = 2760 \times A_{405\text{ nm/min}} \).

(A= the mean of sample absorbance reading).

2.2.1.4 Total protein

Total serum protein was measured by a colorimetric method using a commercial kit (Randox Laboratories Ltd., U.K.).

**Principle**

Colorimetric determination of total protein in serum is based on the Biuret reaction. The serum protein reacts with copper sulphate in the presence of sodium hydroxide. The Rochelle salt (K-Na-tartarate) contained in the Biuret reagent is utilized to keep the formed cupric hydroxide in solution which gives the blue color. The intensity of the color produced is proportional to the amount of protein in the sample.
The absorbance of the sample (A sample) and of the standard (A standard) were read against the reagent blank in the Spectrophotometer at a wave length of 545 nm. The total serum protein concentration (C) was calculated as follows:

\[
C (\text{mg/dl}) = \frac{A \text{ sample}}{A \text{ standard}} \times \text{concentration of the standard}
\]

2.2.1.5 Albumin

Serum albumin was measured by a colorimetric method using a commercial kit (Randox Laboratories Ltd., U.K.).

Principle

The measurement of serum albumin is based on its quantitative binding to the indicator 5, 5-di-purple, BCP.

Serum was mixed with a buffered BCP reagent and the mixture was incubated for 2 minutes at room temperature. The absorbance of the sample (A sample) and of the standard (A standard) was measured against blank at a wave length of 600 nm albumin concentration (C) was calculated as follows:

\[
C (\text{g/dl}) = \frac{A \text{ sample}}{A \text{ standard}} \times \text{concentration of the standard}
\]

2.2.1.6 Billirubin

Serum total billirubin was measured by a colorimetric method using a commercial kit (Randox Laboratories Ltd., U.K.).

Principle

Albumin bound billirubin is released by a detergent and the total billirubin reacts with 2,4 - di-chloroalanine to form a coloured
azobillirubin which is measured in the Spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 456 nm.

Serum was mixed with the working reagent solution and the mixture was allowed to stand for 10 minutes protected from light. Absorbance of the sample (A-sample) was measured against the sample blank and concentration (C) of billirubin was calculated as follows:

\[ C \text{ (mg/dl)} = A \text{ sample} \times 12.5 \]

2.2.2 Haematological methods

These were described by Schalm, (1965). Blood samples from ocular vein of rats were collected into dry clean bottles with anticoagulant ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA).

2.2.2.1 Packed cell volume (PCV)

Blood samples were drawn into microhaematocrit capillary tubes and sealed at one end with cristaseal (Hawksley). The capillary tubes were centrifuged for 5 minutes in microhaematocrit centrifuged (Hawksley and Sons, Ltd. England) and the (PCV) percentage was determined from the scale on the Hawksley microhaematocit reader.

2.2.2.2 Haemoglobin (Hb) concentration

The concentration of haemoglobin (Hb) was measured by the cyanmethaemoglobin technique (Kelly, 1984) using Colorimeter (Ciba Corning, model 252).

A volume of 0.02 ml of blood was added to the 4 ml of Drabkins solutions (0.2 gm potassium cyanide, 0.2 potassium ferri cyanide and 1gm bicarbonate per liter of distilled water).
After 10 minutes, the haemoglobin (Hb) concentration measured in g/dl of blood. The method is based on the conversion of hemoglobin by Drabkin solution to cyanmethaeglobin.

2.2.2.3 Total Red blood cells (RBCs) count

Total erythrocytes were counted by using Neubauer haemocytometer (Hawksly and Sons Ltd, England) and Hayem’s solution (Kelly, 1984) as a diluents consisting of (0.5 gm sodium sulphate, 0.5 mercuric chloride and 1 gm sodium chloride) made up to 200 ml with distilled water.

Calculation

200 × 50 × R cells = 10,000 × R. ul.

2.2.2.4 Mean corpuscular volume (MCV)

The mean volume of the red corpuscle was calculated from the erythrocyte count and the volume of packed red cells as described by Maxweil and Wintrobe, (1967) as follows:

\[ \text{MCV} = \frac{\text{Volume of packed red cells, ml per 1000ml}}{\text{Red cells count, millions per cm}} \times 100 \]

2.2.2.5 Mean corpuscular haemoglobin (MCH)

Mean corpuscular haemoglobin (MCH) is a calculation of the average amount of oxygen-carrying hemoglobin inside a red blood cell. It is calculated by dividing the total mass of hemoglobin by the number of red blood cells in a volume of blood.

\[ \text{MCH} = \frac{\text{haemoglobin / gm per 100 ml}}{\text{Red cells count, millions per cm}} \times 100 \]
2.2.2.6 Mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (MCHC)

MCHC was calculated when the Hb concentration and the volume of packed red cells are known (Maxwell and Wintrobe, 1967).

**Calculation**

\[
\text{MCHC} = \frac{\text{haemoglobin / gm per 100 ml}}{\text{Volume of packed red cells, ml per 100 ml}} \times 100
\]

2.2.3 Histopathological methods

The postmortem examination was carried out immediately after slaughtering of the animals. Organs were examined for the presence of abscesses and lesions. The specimens of the liver were collected and fixed in 10% buffered formal saline, and transported to the laboratory for histopathological processing and investigation. Specimens embedded in paraffin wax sectioned at 5µm and stained routinely with Haemotoxylin and Eosin (H and E) using Mayere haemalum.

2.2.4 Statistical analysis

Data were entered and analyzed using SAS statistical package. Numerical data were expressed as means and standard errors. Significance of difference between means was tested by one-way ANOVA, depending on the number of compared groups; with a \( p \) value of \( = 0.05 \) considered statistically significant. Changes in individual serum parameters against time were figured out as histograms and compared (Mendelhall, 1971).
CHAPTER THREE

RESULTS

3.1. Effect of camel urine against ethanol induced liver damage in rats

3.1.1 Clinical signs

Rats in group 2 which treated with ethanol showed depression and nervous signs when compared to the control rats, while there were no clinical signs observed in rats of group 3 (intoxicated with ethanol and treated with Silymarin), group 4 (treated with urine alone) or group 5 (treated with ethanol and camel urine).

3.1.2 Post-mortem findings

The livers of rats of group 2 (ethanol group), showed fatty changes, congestion and adhesion of lobes, while there were no pathological changes observed in the livers of group 3 (Silymarin + ethanol) or group 4 (the urine group). In group 5 (Ethanol + Urine) the liver showed slight fatty change.

3.1.3 Changes in serum enzymes

The effect of camel urine on the activities of enzymes AST, ALT, and ALP in the serum of rats are clear in Table (1), and Figures (1)-(3).

In rats treated with ethanol (group 2), the activities of enzymes AST, ALT, and ALP were significantly increased when compared with the control group (group 1).

Administration of camel urine to rats in group 5 which also treated with ethanol resulted in significant fall in the levels of the enzymes AST, ALT, and ALP, when compared to the group treated with ethanol.
Table (1): Changes in serum enzymes constituents of rats treated with camel urine and ethanol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>AST (SGOT) U/I (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th>ALT (SGPT) U/I (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th>ALP U/I (Means ± S.E)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day Zero</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38.68 ± 1.32 a</td>
<td>37.26 ± 1.15 c</td>
<td>37.8 ± 0.73 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group1</td>
<td>37.36 ±1.65 a</td>
<td>63.8 ± 3.02 a</td>
<td>62.6 ± 2.01 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group2</td>
<td>35.34 ±0.83 a</td>
<td>53.2 ±1.98 b</td>
<td>54.2 ±2.27 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group3</td>
<td>36.68 ±1.06 a</td>
<td>49.46 ±1.89 b</td>
<td>47.2 ±2.13 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group4</td>
<td>37.3 ±4 1.63 a</td>
<td>52.6 ±1.63 b</td>
<td>52.6 ±2.25 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group5</td>
<td>16.8 ± 0.86 a</td>
<td>15.4 ±0.87 a</td>
<td>16.2 ±0.37 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.8 ±0.68 a</td>
<td>14.8 ±0.37 a</td>
<td>9.4 ±0.75 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.32a</td>
<td>13.4±0.51 a</td>
<td>14.8 ±0.58 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80.4 ± 1.03 a</td>
<td>76 ± 1 b</td>
<td>75.8 ±1.07 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>79.2 ±1.39 a</td>
<td>79.4 ±0.51 a</td>
<td>79.8±0.66 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80 ±1a</td>
<td>78 ±0.71 ab</td>
<td>77.8 ±0.37 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>77.8 ± 0.86 a</td>
<td>79.2 ± 1.66a</td>
<td>77.6 ±1.29ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80 ±0.55 a</td>
<td>75.8 ±0.86 b</td>
<td>77 ± 0.45 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group1 (Control), Group2 (10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group4 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W."), Group5 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W." + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg).

Means in the same column with the same letters are not significantly different.
Fig. (1) Changes in serum (AST) levels of rats treated with camel urine and ethanol

Group1 (Control), Group2 (10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group4 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W.")", Group5 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W." + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg).

Fig. (2) Changes in serum (ALT) levels of rats treated with camel urine and ethanol

Group1 (Control), Group2 (10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group4 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W.")", Group5 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W." + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg).
Fig. (3) Changes in serum ALP levels of rats treated with camel urine and ethanol

Group1 (Control), Group2 (10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group4 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W."), Group5 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W." + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg).
3.1.4 Changes in serum metabolites parameters

Table (2) and Figures (4)-(6), summarized the effect of camel urine on the concentration of the metabolites, total protein, albumin and billirubin in the serum of rats.

In rats treated with ethanol (group 2), the concentration of the metabolites total protein, albumin and billirubin were significantly increased when compared with the control group (group 1).

Administration of camel urine to rats in group 5 which also treated with ethanol resulted in significant fall in the concentration of the metabolites, total protein, albumin and billirubin, when compared to the group treated with ethanol.

3.1.5 Changes in haematological parameters

The mean values of haematological parameters, PCV, Hb and red blood cells count, are presented in table (3). The mean values of PCV showed no significant difference in all groups at day Zero and day (15), but at day (29) there was significant increase in the mean values of PCV in group 4 (urine group) and group 5 (urine + ethanol), when compared to the ethanol group (group 2).

The mean values of Hb showed no significant change in all groups at day Zero of the experiment, but the mean values of Hb at day (15) and day (29) showed significant increase in group 4 (urine group), group 5 (ethanol + urine) and group 3 (Silymarin group), which showed a little decrease at day (15), when compared to the ethanol group.

The mean values of RBC at day (15) showed significant increase in group 4 (urine group), beside a little decrease in group 5 (ethanol + urine) and group 3 (Silymarin group), when compared to the ethanol group, while the mean values of RBC showed no significant change in all groups at day (29) of the experiment.
### Table (2): Changes in serum metabolites concentration of rats treated with camel urine and ethanol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Total Protein g/dl (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th>Albumin g/dl (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th>Billirubin mg/dl (Means ± S.E)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day Zero</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group1</td>
<td>5.38 ± 0.21 a</td>
<td>5.42 ± 0.12 a</td>
<td>5.32 ± 0.22 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group2</td>
<td>5.54 ± 0.12 a</td>
<td>5.66 ± 0.09 a</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.07 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group3</td>
<td>5.58 ± 0.09 a</td>
<td>5.66 ± 0.09 a</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.05 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group4</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.07 a</td>
<td>5.68 ± 0.11 a</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.15 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group5</td>
<td>5.52 ± 0.09 a</td>
<td>5.52 ± 0.09 a</td>
<td>5.48 ± 0.04 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group1 (Control), Group2 (10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group4 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W."), Group5 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W." + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg).

Means in the same column with the same letters are not significantly different.
Fig. (4) Changes in total protein concentration in rats treated with camel urine and ethanol

Group1 (Control), Group2 (10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group4 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W."), Group5 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W." + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg).

Fig. (5) Changes in albumin concentration in rats treated with camel urine and ethanol

Group1 (Control), Group2 (10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group4 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W."), Group5 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W." + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg).
Fig. (6) Changes in bilirubin concentration in rats treated with camel urine and ethanol

Group1 (Control), Group2 (10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group4 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W."), Group5 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W." + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg).
Table (3): Changes in haematological parameters in rats treated with camel urine and ethanol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>PCV % (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day Zero</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group1</td>
<td>32.4 ± 1.6 a</td>
<td>36.8 ± 0.86 a</td>
<td>36.8 ± 1.53 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group2</td>
<td>34 ± 0.45 a</td>
<td>35.2 ± 1.43 a</td>
<td>36.6 ± 1.44 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group3</td>
<td>32.2 ± 2.48 a</td>
<td>34.4 ± 0.75 a</td>
<td>38.4 ± 1.63 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group4</td>
<td>34.2 ± 1.16 a</td>
<td>33.8 ± 1.24 a</td>
<td>41.6 ± 1.44 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group5</td>
<td>32.8 ± 0.86 a</td>
<td>33.8 ± 0.73 a</td>
<td>40.2 ± 0.66 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Hb g/dl (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day Zero</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group1</td>
<td>16.28 ± 0.42 b</td>
<td>16.1 ± 0.47 a</td>
<td>16 ± 0.85 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group2</td>
<td>16 ± 0.44 b</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.76 c</td>
<td>12.3 ± 1.83 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group3</td>
<td>17 ± 1.03 b</td>
<td>11.7 ± 0.60 c</td>
<td>12.98 ± 0.83 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group4</td>
<td>16.92 ± 0.50 b</td>
<td>15.06 ± 0.75 ab</td>
<td>15.3 ± 0.72 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group5</td>
<td>18.4 ± 0.91 b</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.64 bc</td>
<td>14.1 ± 0.4 ab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>RBC × 10⁶ (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day Zero</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group1</td>
<td>4.96 ± 0.20 ab</td>
<td>5.96 ± 0.18 a</td>
<td>4.15 ± 0.85 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group2</td>
<td>4.74 ± 0.24 b</td>
<td>4.66 ± 0.19 c</td>
<td>4.24 ± 0.12 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group3</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.24 b</td>
<td>4.12 ± 0.12 d</td>
<td>4.42 ± 0.19 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group4</td>
<td>5.18 ± 0.24 b</td>
<td>5.12 ± 0.12 b</td>
<td>4.86 ± 0.31 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group5</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.15 a</td>
<td>4.58 ± 0.07 c</td>
<td>4.72 ± 0.19 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group1 (Control), Group2 (10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group4 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W."), Group5 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W." + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg).

Means in the same column with the same letters are not significantly different.
3.1.6 Changes in red blood cell indices values

The changes in red blood indices MCV, MCH and MCHC, are presented in Table (4). The mean values of MCV showed no significant difference in all groups at day Zero and day (29) of the experiment, but at day (15) there was significant increase in group 3 (Silymarin group) and significant decrease in group 4 (urine group), when compared to the ethanol group.

The mean values of MCH and MCHC showed no significant difference in all groups throughout the experimental period. But the mean values of MCHC at day (15) and day (29) showed significant increase in group 4 (urine group) and group 5 (ethanol + urine), beside significant decrease in group 3 (Silymarin group) at day (15) and increase at day (29), when compared to the ethanol group.

3.1.7 Histopathological changes

Histopathology of the liver sections treated with camel urine were presented in Figure (7) (a-d). In group 2 (ethanol group) liver damage was seen in a form of generalized necrosis, fatty change and congestion, in the group treated with urine alone, there was generalized fatty changes and slight necrosis, but in the group treated with ethanol and urine there was generalized fatty change, while in the group treated with ethanol and Silymarin there was slight fatty change, while there were no pathological changes seen in the livers of control group.
Table (4): Changes in values of red blood cell indices in rats treated with camel urine and ethanol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>MCV fl (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day Zero</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>65.24 ± 4.14 a</td>
<td>59.28 ± 1.74 d</td>
<td>81.44 ± 2.58 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>73.56 ± 3.76 a</td>
<td>75.64 ± 1.82 b</td>
<td>86.46 ± 3.59 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>66.34 ± 4.68 a</td>
<td>83.62 ± 1.65 a</td>
<td>87.56 ± 4.14 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td>68.02 ± 3.98 a</td>
<td>64.72 ± 1.13 c</td>
<td>86.46 ± 3.96 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5</td>
<td>61.26 ± 1.93 a</td>
<td>74.02 ± 1.48 b</td>
<td>85.86 ± 4.57 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>MCH pg (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day Zero</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>33.64 ± 1.45 a</td>
<td>27.82 ± 0.73 a</td>
<td>35.44 ± 1.53 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>34.9 ± 2.24 a</td>
<td>26.56 ± 0.95 a</td>
<td>29.24 ± 4.50 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>34.64 ± 2.29 a</td>
<td>28.34 ± 0.72a</td>
<td>29.48 ± 1.90 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td>32.86 ± 1.44 a</td>
<td>28.92 ± 0.75 a</td>
<td>31.60 ± 1.16 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5</td>
<td>33.64 ± 2.25 a</td>
<td>28.88 ± 1.60 a</td>
<td>30.02 ± 1.06 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>MCHC g/dl (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day Zero</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>50.88 ± 1.69 a</td>
<td>43.76 ± 1.45 a</td>
<td>41.48 ± 2.03 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>49.48 ± 2.58 a</td>
<td>35.08 ± 1.22 b</td>
<td>33.4 ± 4.52 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>49.38 ± 2.91 a</td>
<td>33.94 ± 1.25 b</td>
<td>33.52 ± 0.75 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td>50.20 ± 1.65 a</td>
<td>37.92 ± 3.85ab</td>
<td>37.06 ± 1.55ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5</td>
<td>54.92 ± 3.81 a</td>
<td>37.2 ± 3.64 ab</td>
<td>35.1 ± 1.05ab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group 1 (Control), Group 2 (10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group 3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg), Group 4 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W."), Group 5 (camel urine at "1ml/100gm rats' B.W." + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg). Means in the same column with the same letters are not significantly different.
Fig. (7) Histopathological changes in livers of rats treated with ethanol, camel urine and Silymarin

(a) = Section of liver cells of group 2 (10% ethanol at 5g/kg), showing liver damage in a form of generalized necrosis, fatty change and congestion.

(b) = Section of liver cells of group 4 treated with camel urine at "1ml/100gm B. W.", showing generalized fatty changes and slight necrosis.

(c) = Section of liver cells of group 5 which treated with camel urine at "1ml/100gm B. W." + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg, showing generalized fatty change.

(d) = Section of liver cells of group 3 which treated with Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg), showing slight fatty change.
3.2 Effect of camel milk and urine against ethanol induced liver damage in rats

3.2.1 Clinical Signs

There were no obvious clinical signs observed in the groups treated with Silymarin, milk and urine or milk and urine with ethanol (group 3, 4 and 5, respectively. But there were depression and general unthriftiness in the group treated with ethanol (group 2) which occurred after 13 days from the beginning of experiment. No clinical signs observed in the control group.

3.2.2 Post-mortem findings

At necropsy, in group 2 (ethanol group), the livers of the rats showed fatty changes, slight congestion and adhesion in lobes, while in group1 (control), group 4 (Milk and urine) and group 5 (milk and urine + ethanol) no post mortem changes were observed in internal organs. In group 3 (Silymarin) there was fatty change.

3.2.3 Changes in serum enzymes

Table (5) and Figures (8)-(10) summarized the effect of camel milk and urine on the activities of serum enzymes AST, ALT, and ALP.

In rats intoxicated with ethanol (group 2), the activities of enzymes AST, ALT, and ALP were significantly increased when compared with the untreated groups 1 (the control).

Administration of camel milk mixed with camel urine to rats in group 5 which was intoxicated with ethanol resulted in significant amelioration of the levels of the enzymes AST, ALT, and ALP, when compared to the group treated with ethanol.
Table (5): Changes in serum enzymes constitutes of rats treated with mixture of camel milk and urine plus ethanol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>AST (SGOT) U/I (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day Zero</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group1</td>
<td>39.34 ± 1.23 a</td>
<td>37.26 ± 1.15 c</td>
<td>38.4 ± 0.98 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group2</td>
<td>38.02 ± 1.71ab</td>
<td>63.8 ± 3.02 a</td>
<td>62.6 ± 2.01 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group3</td>
<td>35.34 ± 0.83 b</td>
<td>53.2 ± 1.98 b</td>
<td>54.2 ± 2.27 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group4</td>
<td>36.02 ± 0.68ab</td>
<td>38.08 ± 1.37 c</td>
<td>37.4 ± 0.87 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group5</td>
<td>35.34 ± 0.83b</td>
<td>51.8 ± 2.06 b</td>
<td>50.4 ± 1.69 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>ALT (SGPT) U/I (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day Zero</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group1</td>
<td>5 ± 1.14 a</td>
<td>6 ± 0.71 c</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.51 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group2</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.75 a</td>
<td>15.4 ± 0.87 a</td>
<td>16.8 ± 0.86 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group3</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.68 a</td>
<td>14.8 ± 0.37 a</td>
<td>16.2 ± 0.37 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group4</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.74 a</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.93 c</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.51 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group5</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.24 a</td>
<td>12.6 ± 0.68 b</td>
<td>14.2 ± 0.37 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>(ALP) U/I (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day Zero</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group1</td>
<td>80.4 ± 2.06 a</td>
<td>76.2 ± 1.39 bc</td>
<td>76.2 ± 1.24 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group2</td>
<td>79.2 ± 1.16 a</td>
<td>79.4 ± 0.51 a</td>
<td>79.8 ± 0.66 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group3</td>
<td>79.8 ± 1.16 a</td>
<td>78 ± 0.70 ab</td>
<td>77.8 ± 0.37 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group4</td>
<td>78.4 ± 1.44 a</td>
<td>77 ± 0.71 abc</td>
<td>76.8 ± 0.58 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group5</td>
<td>77.6 ± 0.93 a</td>
<td>74.8 ± 0.37 c</td>
<td>76.2 ± 0.37 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group1 (Control), Group2 (10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group4 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W."), Group5 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W." + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg).

Means in the same column with the same letters are not significantly different.
Fig. (8) Changes in Serum (AST) SGOT levels of rats treated with mixture of camel milk and urine plus ethanol

Group1 (Control), Group2 (10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group4 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W."), Group5 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W." + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg).

Fig. (9) Changes in Serum (ALT) SGPT levels of rats treated with mixture of camel milk and urine plus ethanol

Group1 (Control), Group2 (10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group4 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W."), Group5 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W." + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg).
Fig. (10) Changes in Serum ALT levels of rats treated with mixture of camel milk and urine plus ethanol

Group 1 (Control), Group 2 (10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group 3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group 4 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W."), Group 5 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W." + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg).
3.2.4 Changes in serum metabolites parameters

The effect of the camel milk and urine on the concentration of the metabolites total protein, albumin and bilirubin in the serum of rats are clear in Table (6) and Figures (11)-(13).

In rats treated with ethanol only (group 2), the concentration of the metabolites total protein, albumin and bilirubin were significantly increased when compared with the untreated groups (the control).

Administration of camel milk mixed with camel urine to rats intoxicated with ethanol (group 5) resulted in significant decrease in the concentration of the metabolites total protein, albumin and bilirubin, when compared to the group treated with ethanol.

3.2.5 Determination of haematological parameters

Table (7) presented the mean value of haematological parameters, PCV, Hb and RBC. The mean values of PCV fluctuate within the normal range during the period of the experiment in all groups and showed no significant difference between the test and control groups in all days of the experiment.

The mean values of Hb and RBC showed no significant difference between the treated groups and ethanol group at day Zero of the experiment, but there was significant increase in Hb and RBC values in group 4 (milk and urine), at day (15), and day (29) of the experiment.

3.2.6 Calculation of red blood cell indices

The changes in red blood indices MCV, MCH and MCHC, are presented in Table (8). The mean values of MCV, MCH and MCHC fluctuate within the normal range and showed no significant difference between the treated and control group, in all groups throughout the experimental period.
Table (6): Changes in serum metabolites concentrations of rats treated with mixture of camel milk and urine plus ethanol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Total Protein g/dl (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th>Albumin g/dl (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th>Billirubin mg/dl (Means ± S.E)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day Zero</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.17 a</td>
<td>5.26 ± 0.14 b</td>
<td>5.26 ± 0.15 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group2</td>
<td>5.46 ± 0.12 a</td>
<td>5.66 ± 0.09 a</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.07 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group3</td>
<td>5.58 ± 0.09 a</td>
<td>5.66 ± 0.09 a</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.05 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group4</td>
<td>5.58 ± 0.10 a</td>
<td>5.36 ± 0.10 ab</td>
<td>5.36 ± 0.05 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group5</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.05 a</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.07 ab</td>
<td>5.42 ± 0.06 bc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group1 (Control), Group2 (10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group4 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W."), Group5 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W." + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg).

Means in the same column with the same letters are not significantly different.
Fig. (11) Changes in total protein concentration in rats treated with mixture of camel milk and urine plus ethanol

Group1 (Control), Group2 (10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group4 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W."), Group5 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W." + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg).

Fig. (12) Changes in albumin concentration in rats treated with mixture of camel milk and urine plus ethanol

Group1 (Control), Group2 (10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group4 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W."), Group5 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W." + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg).
Fig. (13) Changes in billirubin concentration of rats treated with mixture of camel milk and urine plus ethanol

Group1 (Control), Group2 (10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group4 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W."), Group5 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W." + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg).
Table (7): Changes in haematological parameters in rats treated with mixture of camel milk and urine plus ethanol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>PCV % (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Day Zero</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>32.6 ± 1.36 a</td>
<td>37.8 ± 1.16 a</td>
<td>36.4 ± 2.93 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>33.6 ± 1.50 a</td>
<td>35.2 ± 1.43 ab</td>
<td>36.6 ± 1.44 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>30.6 ± 2.98 a</td>
<td>34.4 ± 0.75 ab</td>
<td>38.4 ± 1.63 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td>34 ± 3.41 a</td>
<td>32.6 ± 2.50 b</td>
<td>38.2 ± 1.07 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5</td>
<td>37.4 ± 2.01a</td>
<td>35.2 ± 0.86 ab</td>
<td>38.6 ± 2.23 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Hb g/dl (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day Zero</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>16.5 ± 0.57 a</td>
<td>15.96 ± 0.51 a</td>
<td>16.7 ± 1.25 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>16.92 ± 0.50 a</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.76 b</td>
<td>12.3 ± 1.83 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>17.4 ± 1.12 a</td>
<td>11.7 ± 0.60 b</td>
<td>12.98 ± 0.83 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td>16.28 ± 0.42 a</td>
<td>13.6 ± 0.51 b</td>
<td>16.5 ± 0.27 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5</td>
<td>15.24 ± 1.41a</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.58 b</td>
<td>13.3 ± 1.12 ab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>RBC × 10⁶ (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day Zero</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.29 a</td>
<td>5.86 ± 0.19 a</td>
<td>4.52 ± 0.19 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>4.62 ± 0.17 a</td>
<td>4.66 ± 0.19 bc</td>
<td>4.24 ± 0.12 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.28 a</td>
<td>4.12 ± 0.12 c</td>
<td>4.42 ± 0.19 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td>4.64 ± 0.58 a</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.28 b</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.27 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5</td>
<td>4.96 ± 0.26 a</td>
<td>4.52 ± 0.22 bc</td>
<td>4.28 ± 0.20 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group 1 (Control), Group 2 (10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group 3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group 4 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W."), Group 5 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W." + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg).

Means in the same column with the same letters are not significantly different.
Table (8): Changes in values of red blood cell indices in rats treated with mixture of camel milk and urine plus ethanol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>MCV fl (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day Zero</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group1</td>
<td>65.4 ± 3.57 a</td>
<td>65.16 ± 3.71 b</td>
<td>85.66 ± 3.50 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group2</td>
<td>73.5 ± 3.57 a</td>
<td>75.64 ± 1.82 ab</td>
<td>86.46 ± 3.59 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group3</td>
<td>67.28 ± 4.82 a</td>
<td>83.62 ± 1.65 a</td>
<td>87.56 ± 4.14 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group4</td>
<td>72.9 ± 3.90 a</td>
<td>67.04 ± 5.17 b</td>
<td>68.46 ± 5.15 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group5</td>
<td>75.28 ± 7.90 a</td>
<td>78.44 ± 3.5 a</td>
<td>90.22 ± 2.14 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>MCH pg (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day Zero</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group1</td>
<td>33.36 ± 2.18 a</td>
<td>26.72 ± 1.42 a</td>
<td>37.72 ± 3.74 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group2</td>
<td>36.92 ± 2.59 a</td>
<td>26.56 ± 0.95 a</td>
<td>29.24 ± 4.50 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group3</td>
<td>36.94 ± 3 a</td>
<td>28.34 ± 0.73 a</td>
<td>29.48 ± 1.90 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group4</td>
<td>31.66 ± 2.82 a</td>
<td>28.56 ± 1.56 a</td>
<td>29.92 ± 1.05 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group5</td>
<td>30.8 ± 4.26 a</td>
<td>27.5 ± 0.87 a</td>
<td>30.84 ± 1.54 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>MCHC g/dl (Means ± S.E)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day Zero</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group1</td>
<td>51.4 ± 1.26 ab</td>
<td>43.1 ± 2.13 a</td>
<td>47.8 ± 6.01 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group2</td>
<td>52.38 ±2.09 ab</td>
<td>35.08 ± 1.22 b</td>
<td>33.4 ± 4.52 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group3</td>
<td>55.82±7.97 a</td>
<td>33.94 ± 1.25 b</td>
<td>33.52 ± 0.75 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group4</td>
<td>39.96 ±5.25 b</td>
<td>43.76 ± 2.81 a</td>
<td>43.4 ± 1.88 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group5</td>
<td>40.96 ± 3.73 b</td>
<td>35.22 ± 1.45 b</td>
<td>34.22 ± 1.14 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group1 (Control), Group2 (10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group3 (Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg), Group4 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W."), Group5 (50:50 mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W." + 10% Ethanol at 5g/kg).

Means in the same column with the same letters are not significantly different.
3.2.7 Histopathological changes

Histopathology of the liver sections treated with camel milk and urine was presented in Figure (14) (a-d). In group 1 (the control) no pathological changes were seen. In group 2 (ethanol group) liver damage was seen in a form of centrilobular necrosis and lymphocytic infiltration, in the group treated with mixture of camel milk and urine, there was almost normal architecture, and in the group treated with ethanol plus camel milk and urine there was slight fatty change and lymphocytic infiltration, while in the group treated with ethanol and Silymarin there was generalized fatty change.
Fig. (14) Histopathological changes in livers of rats treated with ethanol camel milk mixed with camel urine and Silymarin

(a) = Section of liver cells of group 2 (10% ethanol at 5g/kg), showing centrilobular necrosis and lymphocytic infiltration.

(b) = Section of liver cells of group 4 treated with mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W.", showing almost normal architecture.

(c) = Section of liver cells of group 5 which treated with mixture of camel milk and urine at "2ml/100gm B. W." + 10% ethanol 5g/kg, showing slight fatty change and lymphocytic infiltration.

(d) = Section of liver cells of group 3 which treated with Silymarin at 50 mg/kg + 10% ethanol at 5g/kg, showing generalized fatty change.
CHAPTER FOUR
DISCUSSION

The liver is the major organ responsible for the metabolism of drugs and toxic chemicals and therefore, it is the primary target organ for nearly all toxic chemicals (Kaplowitz, 2000; Bissel et al., 2001). Liver damage induced by alcohol (ethanol) is perhaps one of the good studied models of antihepatotoxicity that has been found not only to be useful tools to investigate the pathophysiology underlying the effects of alcohol on the liver but also to evaluate new therapeutic strategies, because acute and chronic alcohol induced liver damage seem to share similar mechanisms (Arteel, 2003).

Alcohol-induced liver damage (ALD) in rodents by intragastric infusion models has been reported to be accompanied by Kupffer cell stimulation as a result of enhanced plasma endotoxin levels (Thurman, 1998). Such mechanisms have been demonstrated to produce steatosis accompanied by inflammatory infiltrates and focal necrosis (Nanji, et al., 1993) and result in the development of fibrosis when extended over a period of months (Tsukamoto, et al., 1986).

Treatment of alcohol-induced liver damage remains limited to supportive measures (Mullen and Dasrathy, 1998). Undoubtedly, the development of effective therapy to prevent or treat ALD will depend on elucidating the underlying mechanisms that contribute to liver injury.

Medicinal usage of plant and/or animal products represents one of the most essential sources of drugs. In Sudan and many other countries camel owners use camel's milk and urine to treat a wide range of health problems. Camel's milk and urine has antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral,

The aims of present study are to make more considerable pharmacological and clinical investigation on camel milk and urine. Our research study data suggest that, there were significant improvement in the observed haematological and serological parameters in rats treated with camel milk and urine.

In this study, the two experiments showed that alcohol (10% ethanol) intake increased the mean values of liver enzymes (AST, ALT, and ALP) when compared to normal rats received normal saline only. These results were in agreement with Rajakrishnan and Menon (2001) who indicated that exposure of hepatocytes to ethanol alters the membrane structure and functions by increasing the leakage of enzymes into the circulation, also, Das et al., (2005) reported that, excess alcohol consumption has been linked with altered liver metabolism and liver damage. In the present study, there was a significant increase in serum metabolites (total proteins, albumin and billirubin) in ethanol group when compared to normal rats received normal saline only. These results were not in line with the results obtained by Ahmed et al (2002) who found a decrease in serum total proteins and albumin in ethanol-administered rats and he suggested that, decrease was due to the reduction in the functional ability of liver in ethanol-administered rats. In the current study, serum metabolites (total proteins, albumin and Billirubin) were significantly decreased and maintained near the normal range in all prophylactic groups and also in the treated groups of camel urine alone and the groups treated with camel milk mixed with camel urine.
4.1. Effect of camel urine against ethanol induced liver damage in rats

The present study has been attempted to elucidate, hepatoprotective properties of camel urine in rats. The pharmacological experimental models was carried out to evaluate if the collected early morning camel urine used has the activity that might be considered of interest and to establish explaining the general effects (experimentally) on liver serum enzymes, serum metabolites, haematological parameters and red blood indices values, of normal and intoxicated rats. Such an experimental process represents an essential stage for selecting the most potent hepatoprotective form of camel urine for further detailed pharmacological investigation.

In this study camel urine were tested for its hepatoprotective activity against 10% ethanol (5g/kg) as standard hepatotoxic agent, compared to Silymarin drug (50 mg/kg) as standard anti-hepatotoxic agent.

Different doses of camel urine (1ml/100gm body weight) were tested against liver damage induced by 10% ethanol (5g/kg) and found that it protects the liver against damage with variation in results. The results of the present study of the use of camel urine against alcohol hepatotoxicity in rats indicated a significant hepatoprotective effect. This finding was evidenced by significant reduction of the levels of liver serum enzymes AST, ALT, and ALP, which showed higher levels in ethanol group and these results were correlated with improvement in histopathological picture that appear in ethanol groups as hepatocellular necrosis, apoptosis, fatty accumulation and inflammatory cells infiltration, these results were consistent with the findings of other
authors (Sun et al., 2001, Ali et al., 2001) who found the similar histopathological changes which caused by CCL4 liver toxicity.

Measurement of serum enzyme levels are not enough to evaluate the extent of hepatic injury, however, ALT is considered a liver specific enzyme in rats, but the decrease of enzymes levels points out to a hepatoprotective action of camel urine (Salwa, 2004).

There are few published data concerning camel urine hepatoprotective activity, where as similar hepatoprotective effects were reported by several species of plants such as Ballanitis aegyptiaca, Rhazya stricta and Halophyllum tuberculatum (Ali et al., 2001), Gymnema sylvestre and Curcuma zedoria (Rana and Avadhood,1992), Calotropis procera (Basu et al., 1992). And Solanum nigrum (Raja, A. M. 2002), against liver damage.

From the results of current study we noticed that, the levels of serum enzymes significantly reduced when given camel urine before 10% ethanol intoxication and better than the refrence drug (Silymarin). That's may be related to the fact that, camel urine has a potent antioxidant activity and protect against ethanol induced hepatotoxicity.

4.2 Effect of camel milk and urine against ethanol induced liver damage in rats

This experiment was carried out to investigate the protective effects of camel milk and urine against alcohol (10% ethanol) induced damage in the liver of white albino rats. Assessment of harmful effect of alcohol (10% ethanol) and the protective effect of camel milk and urine was based on the analysis of selected parameters, liver serum enzymes,
serum metabolites, haematological parameters and red blood indices values.

Liver damages were assayed by biochemical studies and by three separate serum liver enzymes including aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT), which are known together as Transaminases, alkaline phosphatase (ALP) which is known as one of cholestatic liver enzymes, all of these enzymes are the reliable indices of liver function. Transaminases (AST and ALT) are important and critical enzymes in the biological processes, therefore, the increase of these enzymes in serum is indicative of liver damage and thus alterations in liver function (Gramiccioni et al., 1996).

In our study, the treatment with camel milk and urine with alcohol (10% ethanol) administration maintained the levels of all investigated parameters (AST, ALT, ALP, total protein, albumen, and bilirubin) within the normal values, which indicates improvement in the function of the liver of the treated rat. The decrease in serum activities of liver enzymes AST, ALT, ALP in the rats treated with camel milk and urine before alcohol (10% ethanol) administration could indicate improvement of liver function and protection from the toxicity of alcohol (Khan and Alzohairy, 2011). These findings were confirmed by alleviation of necrosis, apoptosis, fatty accumulation and inflammatory cells infiltration, that clearly observed in ethanol treated group. These findings were consistent with the findings of (Brattin et al., 1985).

The protective effect of camel milk and urine against alcohol induced liver damage in this study could be attributed to its antioxidant activity and it may possibly have chelating effects on toxicants specially alcohol (10% ethanol) (Al-Humaid et al., 2010). It has been reported that camel's milk contain high levels of Vitamins C, A, B2 and E and very
rich in magnesium and other trace elements. These vitamins are antioxidants and found to be useful in preventing the tissues injury caused by toxic agent (Knoess 1979).

Treatment with camel milk and urine could ameliorate alcohol (10% ethanol) induced hepatotoxicity in rats, as demonstrated by the lower serum aminotransferases activities. This effect is in agreement with the commonly accepted view that serum level of transaminases return to normal with the healing of hepatic parenchyma and regeneration of hepatocytes (Thabrew et al., 1987).

Our results were also in agreement with Kumar et al., (2009) who found that the groups of rats pre-treated with of *Trichosanthes cucumerina* (cucurbitaceae) extract significantly controlled the changes in the biochemical parameters, and exhibited a sharp decrease in the serum enzyme levels. Treatment of rats with camel milk and urine against alcohol intoxication were found to have low levels of serum aminotransferases and alkaline phosphatase after alcohol intoxications. So camel milk and urine consumption has some protective effects and enhance the defense system, these results were in agreement with Cuciureanu et al., (2009) who found that rats, received montelukast sodium before the administration of alcohol (10% ethanol) intoxication, exhibited statistically significant lower levels of AST, ALT, ALP, total proteins, albumin and billirubin as compared to the groups that received alcohol (10% ethanol) only. Similarly, Shen *et al*., (2009) reported that *Zizyphus jujube* fruit administration prior to alcohol (10% ethanol) intoxication significantly decreased AST and ALT beside attenuation of the histopathology of hepatic injury and ameliorated the oxidative stress in hepatic tissue as compared to the groups of rats that received alcohol only.
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

We concluded that the oral administration of alcohol (10% ethanol) (5g/kg) induced damage of liver cells of experimental rats, which was manifested biochemically by significant elevation of liver enzymes AST, ALT, and ALP beside also significant increase in serum metabolites (total proteins, albumin and bilirubin).

On the basis of these results of our prophylactic study we concluded that, camel urine may have an active component(s) which could play an important role as an endogenous antioxidant and/or may act as cyto-protective agent against tissue damage mediated by toxic substances as alcohol (10% ethanol), which better than the reference drug (Silymarin).

In comparison, camel milk mixed with urine shows a significant role in hepatoprotective effects on liver toxicity induced by alcohol (10% ethanol) which better than camel urine alone and the reference drug (Silymarin). Camel milk mixed with urine seems to be a beneficial drink for the prevention of acute and chronic liver toxicity, although further studies are necessary.

*Based on the above mentioned findings we suggest more considerable investigations to be done on the followings:-

1- Protective effect of camel milk against alcohol induced liver damage in rats.

2- The study of fresh camel colostrum, milk and urine samples during each season is expected to provide evaluation of better different activities.

3- Formulation of the active ingredients in the pharmaceutical dosage.
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